## Overeducation at a glance. Determinants and wage effects of the educational mismatch, looking at the AlmaLaurea data

## Floro Ernesto Caroleo<sup>¥</sup> e Francesco Pastore<sup>§</sup>

# Abstract<sup>#</sup>

This paper provides the first available estimates of the impact of overeducation on wages of AlmaLaurea pre-reform university graduates. The analysis focuses on jobs held 5 years after the graduation attained in 2005. Overeducation / overskillingare relatively high when compared to those in similarly advanced economies, and persistent over the years after graduation. *Ceteris paribus* they tend to be more frequent among children of parents with lower educational levels, through school tracking. The degrees more frequently associated to overeducation are: Agriculture, Arts, Education, Languages, Physical Education, Political Sciences and Psychology. Working while studying and having started the university later than the curricular years are also factors. Moreover, we estimate a conditional wage penalty of about 10% of the median wage when we adopt the "to get" (overeducation) and of about 6.7% when we adopt the "to do" (overskilling) definition. However, the personal attributes that dispose individuals to be mismatched might also reduce the probability of finding a job. Controlling for this source of sample selection biasby using the Heckit procedure, we find that the wage penalty associated to overeducation / overskilling goes up to 35 and 74 percent, respectively. This is support for the job competition and the job assignment models versus the search theoretical model, suggesting that the non-employed would be more likely overeducated / overskilled if they found a job.

JEL classification:C25; C26; C33; I2; J13; J24.Keywords:School-to-Work Transition; University graduates; AlmaLaurea; Overeducation; Overskilling;<br/>Earnings; (Ordered) Probit; sample selection bias; Heckit; Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¥</sup> Floro Ernesto Caroleo is professor of Economic Policy at the University of Naples "Parthenope" and a *research fellow* of IZA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> Corresponding author: Francesco Pastore is aggregate professor of Political Economy at SecondaUniversità di Napoli and a *research fellow* of IZA. Email: <u>fpastore@unina.it</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> Acknowledgements. Most part of this research was conceived during periods of stay of Francesco Pastore at the AlmaLaurea headquarters in Bologna. We are grateful to Andrea Cammelli, Angelo Di Francia and Silvia Ghiselli for providing us with AlmaLaurea data, as well as for detailed and very helpful suggestions on data analysis. We are alsograteful to Giuseppe Croce, Claudio Lucifora, Marco Pecoraro, Giuseppe Rose, Dario Sciulli, Francesca Sgobbi and Peter Sloane for valuablecomments. Previous versions of this paper have been presented at: AlmaLaurea Conference, University of Bologna (11<sup>th</sup> of March 2011), IAB of Nuremberg (26<sup>th</sup> of May 2011), XXVI AIEL Conference, Catholic University of Milan (15<sup>th</sup> of September 2011), University of Naples "Parthenope" (30<sup>th</sup> of September 2011), University of Naples "Federico II" (26<sup>th</sup> of October 2011), Second University of Naples (22<sup>nd</sup> of December 2011), University of Pescara (31<sup>st</sup> of May 2012). We thank all seminar participants. This notwithstanding, the authors are solely responsible for the opinions expressed in this paper.

# Introduction

The mismatch between the educationallevel of workers and that required by the jobs available in the labour market represents one of the most debated dimensions of the educational and skill mismatch that mainly affects university leavers. Both the horizontal and vertical dimensions of the mismatch are expected to increase in the near future. First, many observers believe that the horizontal mismatch, which happens when the level of schooling is appropriate, but the type of schooling is not (Sloane, 2003), is bound to increase due to two main factors: a) the mounting complexity of the industrial structure, which causes, indeed, a mismatch between the composition of labour demand and supply by educational types and skills; b) and the insufficient coordination of educational institutions with labour market evolutions (see, among others, Robst, 2007; and Nordin, Perrson and Rooth, 2010).

Moreover, the increasing educational level of the youngest generationcauses growing concern that the mismatch will take the form of (vertical) overeducation, which happens when the years of schooling required for the job is lower than the years of schooling completed (so-called excess schooling), and overskilling, which happens when the skills required to do the job are lower than the skills individuals have. This is especially true in those countries, like Italy, where the production system is oriented towards traditional manufacturing sectors and therefore the demand for human capital is expected to remain low and stable.

In this direction, it is interesting to note that while technological change and globalization have entailed a skill-bias in the evolution of labour demand in the Anglo-Saxon countries, instead, in other advanced economies in Western Europe the increased educational level has not been associated with a parallel raise in the share of skilled occupations, therefore generating skills mismatch (see Manacorda and Petrongolo, 2000, for a comparative analysis of several OECD countries).

Overeducation causes a penalty to individuals in terms of earnings and employment opportunities and a waste of resources to the society at large in terms of state investment into education that do not bear its yields (Groot 1996, Büchel et al., 2003, McGuinness, 2006).

Comparative evidence based on REFLEX data suggests that Italy has a higher than average share of overeducated workers. Slightly different is the case of overskilling, which is much more common than overeducation and for which Italy tends to the sample average (McGuinness and Sloane, 2010). The (conditional) wage penalty associated to overeducation in simple OLS estimates is generally found to be lower than average, though. Cutillo and Di Pietro (2006) and McGuinness and Sloane (2010) find a wage penalty of 10% or less, lower than that found elsewhere.

Nonetheless, many authors hypothesise that the personal attributes that dispose individuals to be mismatched might also reduce the probability of finding a job. This might be likely to underestimate the extent of overeducation in Italy and, indirectly, the true (negative) wage effect of overeducation in OLS estimates. Cutillo and Di Pietro (2006) use the 2001 ISTAT enquiry on professional integration of 1998 graduates and find that once controlling for both endogeneity and sample selection bias by a bivariate selectivity model, the wage penalty of overeducation reaches about 40%.

This paper provides the first available estimates of the impact of overeducation on wages of AlmaLaurea<sup>1</sup> university graduates registered in the years before the implementation of the Bologna process, so-called pre-reform graduates. All individuals in the sample graduated in 2005 in any type of university degree and are observed one, three and five years after graduation. We study the determinants of the mismatch by using the only questions available in the AlmaLaurea data. More specifically, overeducation is defined as referring to those individuals who declare that their university degree was neither required by law, nor useful to access their current job (question A17). Overskilling is defined as referring to those individuals who declare that their university studies were not used in their current job.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>AlmaLaurea is a consortium including a large and growing number of Italian universities. The aim of the consortium is to provide a framework to facilitate interaction of graduates and firms by collecting information on curricula of graduates and making it available to firms wishing to fill in their job vacancies. It also collects valuable information on individual and educational characteristics of graduates at the time of graduation and on their employment status after one, three and five years from graduation. Information on characteristics at graduation and employment status, available in separate files and databases, can be, in fact, matched to form a unique data set useful for evaluation of the success of universities in producing human capital that the market is actually requesting.

Like in other types of data, also in the AlmaLaurea data, the share of the overeducated shrinks in the years after graduation, but is still non-negligible after 5 years. The size of the phenomenon is in the AlmaLaurea lower than in the REFLEX data. Overeducation falls from 13.2% after one year to 8.0% after five years. The comparable figures for overskilling are 16.5% and 11.4%. In addition, overskilling is like in other countries, generally greater than overeducation.

The determinants are quite similar for overeducation / overskilling.Such individual characteristics as gender, civil status and having children seem to have little impact on the probability to be overeducated, probably because they are observed at the time of graduation. Unemployment duration, the final grade at high secondary school, holding a professional / technical / pedagogic diploma, having found a job through a network of family and friends are factors that correlate with the educational mismatch. Vice versa, having completed some post-graduate training or an advanced master course, finding a job after being a *stageur* or attending other on-the-job training programmes, moving to a place different from the one where the degree was achieved are all factors able to reduce the chance of experiencing the educational mismatch. Interestingly, like in Franzini and Raitano (2009) the probability of overeducation (but not overskilling) is higher in low, not in high unemployment regions. This is probably due to the relatively higher share of public sector jobs in the South.

We estimate by OLS a conditional wage penalty of about 10% of the median wage for overskilling and of about 42.5% for overeducation. This isquite a low wage effect in comparison to other countries that have reached a similar level of development, which might have supply and demand-side explanations. On the one hand, institutional factors, such as the strong compression of the wage distribution, are important. On the other hand, the supply of human capital might be increasing at a faster pace than the demand. These findings are consistent with a theory where labour demand is less skill biased and, therefore, where the unemployment rate of university graduates is relatively higher than elsewhere.

To test this last hypothesis, we move away from traditional OLS estimates, towards modelling strategies able to control for and assess the impact of the greater / lower probability of non-employment of those graduates whosepersonal attributes would dispose them to be mismatched if they found a job. In fact, as Nicaise (2001) note, according to the job competition (but also the job assignment) model, it is likely that the wage penalty of overeducation is lower than actual when it is estimated only among the employed who are overeducated. The latter represent the smoothest form of educational mismatch: in other words, the personal attributes that dispose individuals to be mismatched might also reduce their probability of finding a job. In fact, the most dramatic penalty of possessing educational characteristics that are not much on demand in the labour market is in terms of a reduced probability to find a job.

An alternative hypothesis is also in order. According to the search theoretical model, unemployment is a voluntary choice and the most skilled individuals prefer to stay in the unemployment pool waiting for a better job offer to come. In this case, the OLS would return upward biased estimates of the wage effect of overeducation.

We control for the possible sample selection bias arising from measuring overeducation only among the employed by the Heckit econometric specification of the earnings equation, where the usual OLS estimates are corrected for the lower / higher employment opportunities of the individuals that have attributes not much on demand in the labour market. When controlling for this source of sample selection bias, we find that the wage penalty associated to overeducation / overskilling goes up to 35 and 74% respectively. Our findings provide indirect support for the job competition and the job assignment model versus the search theoretical model, suggesting that the non-employed would be more likely to be overeducated if they found a job. In other words, the sample selection correction confirms that there is positive selection into employment of the most skilled among those experiencing the educational / skill mismatch with respect to labour demand. This finding is partly in line with that of Cutillo and Di Pietro (2006) based on different data.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section one summarises the relevant literature by focusing especially on the Italian case. Section two describes the AlmaLaurea data set adopted and discusses the methods of analysis implemented. Section three presents the results. A final section summarises the main findings and discusses the implications, developing demand and supply side considerations. The concluding section also discusses possible policy suggestions to reduce the impact of overeducation.

## 1. The state of the art

#### 1.1. Theoretical explanations of overeducation

Sloane (2003), McGuinnes (2006) and Leuven and Oosterbeek (2011) provide introductory, but comprehensive accounts of the theoretical interpretations of overeducation. The human capital theory helps understanding overeducation as a consequence of a lack of the work-related component of human capital. In a sense, overeducation is a sign of low, not of high skills: the overeducated are those university graduates who have higher educational level than high school graduates, but have not enough general and/or job specific work experience. This theoretical framework helps understanding why overeducation is typical of young people, despite their increasing educational level. The persistence of overeducation among the adults is a clear challenge for this interpretation, since with time passing young people should get the experience they need to reach the level of adults, unless some persistent, often unobserved low ability / skill problem affects the permanently overeducated.

An alternative explanation is provided by the job competition model brought to the fore by Lester C. Thurow for the first time. Excess schooling is a consequence of the competition for jobs: everybody is involved into a competition for the few jobs available in the labour market. The competition is based on accumulating education, which is in some cases more than that requested to get a job, which generates overeducation. According to this theory, unemployment is essentially involuntary in nature and, as a consequence, the most skilled among graduates are the first to get job offers and accept them as the best alternative, also independent of education. Wages do not depend on individual, but on job productivity and individuals need to acquire the necessary work experience to access the jobs they aim to. To do so, they have to queue for the job and wait until when they acquire the necessary job-specific work experience to do the job. In other words, the job allocation process is like a lottery for the best job opportunity to come.

With the assignment theory, Sattinger (1993) attempted to reconcile the two previous theories. On the one hand, like the job competition model, the job assignment model assumes that the jobs available in the economy are limited, which makes any job-worker match unique and therefore providing a type of remuneration that is job specific and independent of the human capital endowment of the individual; on the other hand, like the human capital theory, it assumes that with their investment in human capital individuals are able to compete for the best job and wages are bound to be influenced by the human capital level of individuals. Overeducation arises because wages will neither be entirely related to acquired schooling and other individual attributes, like in the human capital model, nor to the nature of the job, like in the job competition and job assignment model.

The job search theoretical model assumes, instead, that unemployment is largely a voluntary choice.People accept a job offer when it brings with it a wage higher than their reservation wages. The most skilled graduates prefer to wait into the non-employment pool until when they get the best job offer they can. High skill individuals have higher reservation wages and wait for a longer time than the least skilled graduates, who tend to choose the first job offer they get, even if it involves overeducation. Overeducation arises because the least skilled individuals get the first job offer they can because their reservation wage is low.

Overeducation may result also from career mobility theories: wages tend to grow over time together with the work experience accumulated by individuals. It is therefore physiological that firms and graduates generate job-worker matches with low earnings in the short run, but good career prospects in the long-run.

The policy implications of these theories are differentas to the tools that are necessary to reduce the educational / skill mismatch. The human capital model would suggest investing in work-related training, considered as an important aspect of the process of human capital formation that the educational system fails to provide. The job competition and job assignment models would suggest not investing so much in education or also in training, but rather in the production system so as to favour skill-intensive productions, the only ones that are able to absorb the increasing human capital level of the population. Similar to the human capital model, the job search model would suggest instead pointing to increase those components of the human capital that affect the motivation to search for jobs that are more adequate to young people ambitions. Finally, career mobility theories would suggest stimulating overeducation in early careers as an initial option for young people wishing to access later on jobs that require their actual educational / skill level.

#### 1.2. The empirical literature

While early studies have focused on the USA (Freeman, 1976), more recently, overeducation and skillmismatch patterns have been noted also in other economies, including several European countries (see, for overviews, Büchel et al., 2003; Rubb, 2003; McGuinness, 2006; Leuven and Osterbeek, 2011) and also Italy (AlmaLaurea 2005; Di Pietro and Urwin 2006; Ordine and Rose, 2009; Ortiz 2010). These studies have addressed the following issues:

- a) Size and cross-country determinants of overeducation;
- b) Within-country determinants and by educational qualification;
- c) Penalty in terms of earnings and employment probabilities;
- d) Shortcomings of OLS and corrections for measurement errors, sample selection and endogeneity bias.

For shortness' sake, the rest of this section will not even attempt to summarise the literature on the above points, but will rather just mention some findings that are of interest for the ensuing analysis. Issue a) is one of the most complex to deal with, due to the lack of comparative data. In addition, whatever the measure of overeducation / overskilling adopted, measurement errors are very common, inviting to take the greatest caution when studying this form of educational / skill mismatch (see, among others, Chevalier, 2003; and the recent survey by Leuven and Oosterbeek, 2011).

Expectations based on theoretical reasoning and early evidence on the skill mismatch across OECD countries (Manacorda and Petrongolo, 2000) point to lower overeducation in the EU as compared to the USA. In fact, although there is large confirmation that technological change has been in the EU, and especially in Southern European countries, less skill biased than in the USA, nevertheless, the supply of human capital is also on average much lower in the EU.

Nonetheless, supply side considerations suggest that also in (Southern) European countries, overeducation might have become an issue in recent years, due to the dramatic increase in the supply of human capital in a context of sluggish economic growth and innovation rates. The human capital boom has been the consequence also of policy intervention. Continuous reforms of the educational system starting from 1999 have been aimed, among others, at reducing the direct and indirect cost of education, in order to favour the increase in educational attainment.

A recent, but flourishing stream of literature is attempting to estimate the relative impact of demand and supply side variables in cross-country panel data analyses. The authors find that demand side variables and differences in the imbalances between the composition by field of study of the demand for and supply of education are more important than institutional factors (Davia et al., 2010; Verhaest and van der Velden, 2010; Croce and Ghignoni, 2011).

As to point b), overeducation is typically attributed to similar observed characteristics, such as holding a degree in the Arts or Social Sciences, the fact of studying and working, the tendency to work before starting to attend a university programme.

Even if the return to education (Point c) is still positive for the overeducated and higher than that obtained by workers holding only a secondary high school diploma (Brynin and Longhi, 2009; Franzini Raitano2009; Wasmer et al, 2005), nonetheless, they invariably get a wage penalty for being overeducated as compared to their peers employed in positions for which they hold the required diploma. Leuven and Oosterbeek (2011) report a row wage penalty associated to overeducation of less than 10% as compared to the return to required schooling.

Moreover, generally speaking, the wage gap for overskilling is lower than that for overeducation(see, among others, Sloane et al., 1999; Wasmer et al., 2005). The greater probability of overrating overskilling as compared to overeducationmight explain this. In addition, overeducation is more likely to signal an objective disadvantage at the work place. Dolton and Silles (2008) find a wage penalty of about 16% for overskilling and of 23% for overeducation. McGuinness and Sloane (2010) find an average wage penalty associated to overskilling of about 10%, but of about 30% in the case of overeducation in a sample of seven EU countries, using the REFLEX dataset.

The points c) and d) appear more and more closely related to each other, since many authors have raised the concern that simple OLS estimates tend to dramatically underestimate the wage penalty associated to overeducation. Three types of possible sources of bias have been highlighted in the literature: a) endogeneity; b) sample selection; c) measurement errors. While endogeneity tends to generate upward corrections of the wage penalty, and measurement errors tend to generate a downward correction, sample selection bias has a potentially ambiguous effect.

Measurement errors might tend to reduce the wage penalty since often individuals believe, subjectively, more than they do objectively, to be overeducated (or also overskilled) when they are not. This might tend

the wage penalty of the overeducated to be lower on average, since it is computed also on individuals that are not genuinely overeducated. It is important to detect the cases of measurement errors to understand whether and how many individuals are not overeducated. In fact, as the measurement based on statistical overeducation shows, there are also many cases of under-education. If not adequately accounted for, they might tend to overestimate the wage penalty associated to overeducation, since the baseline group of the non overeducated might possibly include also the undereducated, whose wage is proven to be lower than average. Chevalier (2003), Mavromaras et al. (2010) and Pecoraro (2011) elaborate ways to measure the wage effect of genuine versus apparent overeducation by looking at the relation between overeducation and job satisfaction.

Endogeneity arises if overeducation is assumed to be related to unobserved characteristics, such as a lower level of skills and motivation of the overeducated. Now, if the overeducated are less motivated than average, it is likely that the wage penalty is higher than that typically found. In fact, once controlling for unobserved motivation and skills, overeducation should generate a greater wage penalty.

Nicaise (2001) is among the first to notice that ignoring the non-employed might generate a bias on returns to education whose direction is in principle ambiguous. Applying her line of reasoning to the case of overeducation, according to the job competition and job assignment models, sample selection bias arises because of the fact that the educational mismatch appears first of all in the form of a higher probability of non-employment and only at a later stage takes the form of a wage penalty. Once controlling for the selection bias arising from the presence of non-employment, the wage penalty of those experiencing an educational mismatch might be much higher. Conversely, according to the search theoretical model, unemployment is a voluntary choice and the most skilled graduates prefer to wait into non-employment until when they get the best job offer they can. Accordingly, sample selection causes an upward bias in OLS estimates.

Once controlling for endogeneity and sample selection bias, most authors find that the wage penalty associated to overeducation increases lending support to the job competition and job assignment models (see, among others, Cutillo and Di Pietro, 2006). In addition, the upward bias tends to outweigh the downward bias due to measurement error in panel data analysis (Dolton and Silles, 2008).

#### 1.3. The case of Italy

The empirical literature on Italy has especially aimed at catching the specificity of the country, namely its low level of both demand and supply of human capital. From the demand side, Manacorda and Petrongolo (2000), among others, note that the production structure still based on labour intensive traditional manufacturing. From the supply side, Checchi (2003), Pastore (2009) and Franzini and Raitano (2009), among others, note the lowest level and quality of educational attainment of young people as compared to the EU average<sup>2</sup>.

A large literature points to the inefficiency of the educational system in generating a sufficient level and composition of skills for the labour market demand. It is mirrored not only in the low level of education attainment, but also in the dramatic social immobility. Education attainment is especially low among the poorest segments of the population, due to school tracking (see, among others, Checchi, Ichino e Rustichini, 1999; Cappellari, 2004; Brunello e Checchi, 2007; Bratti, Checchi and De Blasio, 2008). In addition, Caroleo and Pastore (2012) note a strong correlation between the father educational level and that of their children by type of university degree: in particular, most children whose parents both hold a university degree tend to gather in those fields of study that give access to liberal professions, where the intergenerational transfer of human capital is greatest.

All this considered, in principle, it is hard to say whether overschooling is higher or lower than elsewhere. Istat estimated that the undereducated were 1.9 million (9% of employment), whereas the overeducated amounted to 3.7 million (16.5%)in 2006. The existing comparative evidence hints that the country has a higher than average share of overeducated workers, suggesting that demand is more at risk of

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  In the last decade, Italy has witnessed several reforms of the university system aimed at reducing the indirect cost of education, one of the highest in the world, due to the long time that is necessary to attain a degree and complete the school-to-work transition (Pastore, 2009). As a consequence, the number of graduates has slightly increased, although at a slower pace than the EU average, making the country still score as one of the lowest in terms of educational levels in Europe.

losing the race with the supply of human capital than elsewhere. Horizontal overeducation might also be an important component, due to the low degree of orientation of high school diploma students, the scant integration of the educational system with the labour market and the high share of graduates in humanities and other arts degrees.

In their study of the REFLEX data, McGuinness and Sloane (2010, Table 3.6) find that the extent of the educational mismatch is in Italy one of the highest among the EU countries included in their sample. With a share of 23% of overeducated workers at the time of their first job and of 13% five years after graduation, Italy is the third last performer, standing only after Spain and the UK, that have a share of overeducation equal to 17% and 14%, respectively, five years after graduation. In other EU countries in the sample, overeducation is almost always under the threshold of 10%.

Slightly different is the case of overskilling, which is much more common in the REFLEX sample and for which Italy tends to the country average. This is due to the tendency of overskilling to be much more common than overeducation. In Italy, overskilling equals 21% at the first job and 11% five years after graduation. Italy is still under Spain and the UK only, but this time also other countries have similar levels, fluctuating from 8% in Portugal and Norway to 19% in Belgium and 21% in France.

Ferrante (2010, p. 89-93) uses AlmaLaurea data to assess the impact of a number of individual characteristics on the effectiveness of the university degree in providing a job that is up to the educational and skill level of the individual. The author delivers indications relative to the sign and the statistical significance of the variables used. He reports that the variables that correlate positively and in a statistically significant way with the effectiveness of the university degree in an ordered probit framework include: a high school diploma with a score of 55-60 out of 100; a high university final grade; a longer length of job search; experiencing some postgraduate training; holding a university degree in engineering, chemistry and pharmacy, law. The negative and statistically significant determinants include: holding a diploma of technical high school, rather than gymnasium; belonging to the working class; starting their career via starter or atypical working contracts, such as apprenticeship, *stage*, temporary contract; holding an arts degree or a degree in education, psychology, social sciences. Moreover, the author finds a statistically significant positive effect of the effectiveness of the university degree on job satisfaction.

The wage penalty of overeducated or overskilled university graduates is found to be lower in Italy than in other countries. Using the 2001 ISTAT enquiry on professional integration of 1998 graduates, Cutillo and Di Pietro (2006) find a wage penalty for university graduates ranging between 2.4% and 5.7% in simple OLS estimates based on an ISTAT database. McGuinness and Sloane (2010) find a wage penalty of about 10%. Interestingly, in the case of Italy, they find a higher wage penalty for the overskilled (-11%) than for the overeducated (-4%). The latter is not statistically significant. They also find a wage penalty of about 8% in the case of under-skilling.

Using the ISFOL PLUS data, Aina and Pastore (2012) find a strong correlation of overeducation with delayed graduation and a wage penalty associated to overeducation of about 20%, slightly higher than in previous studies.

According to some authors, the low wage penalty associated to the educational and skill mismatch suggests that firms have strong incentives to hire a worker holding a university degree rather than a high secondary school diploma even if the university graduate is bound to remain overeducated. This can be understood considering the highest unemployment rate existing traditionally in the country and the abundance of non-employed job seekers especially among the youngest segments of the population. Although lower than that among young people holding a high school diploma, the unemployment rate of university graduates is higher in Italy than in other EU countries. As already noted in the previous section, this poses an apparent problem of sample selection bias when estimating the wage effect of overeducation and seems to line in favour of the job competition and job assignment models, rather than the job search theoretical model.

Using an ISTAT survey carried out in 2001 on graduates in 1998, Cutillo and Di Pietro (2006) find that once controlling for endogeneity of overeducation, the wage penalty increases up to between 22 and 39%. Once controlling for both endogeneity and sample selection bias, the wage penalty of overeducation reaches always about 40%, independent of the sample adopted.

Considering the dramatic geographical differences existing in the country, a potentially relevant issue is whether there is any divide also in the way overeducation manifest itself across regions. In theory, one would expect overeducation to be more common in the South, where the demand for skills is supposedly lower, due to the lower development level. Nonetheless, Franziniand Raitano(2009) find that in the Southern regions overeducation is less frequent and bears a lower wage penalty. They explain this finding in terms of the relatively greater share in Southern regions of workers employed in the state sector, where overeducation is less frequent and bears a lower wage penalty.

# 2. Data and methodology

#### 2.1. The AlmaLaurea data base

This paper provides the first available estimates of the impact of overeducation on wages of AlmaLaurea university graduates. AlmaLaurea is a consortium of a large and growing number of Italian universities. With 62 member universities in 2010, it is by far the greatest entity of its kind. All Italian regions are covered, except for the Lombardy region, whose universities have established their own consortium<sup>3</sup>.

The aim of AlmaLaurea is to deliver an institutional framework for easing the interaction of graduates and firms by collecting information on curricula of graduates and making it available to firmsfor consultation to fill in their job vacancies. Valuable information onindividual characteristics of graduates is requested at the time of graduation, while that on the employment status is asked one, three and five years after graduation. Follow-up interviews are foreseen in the immediate future up to the 10<sup>th</sup> year after graduation.

By collecting very detailed information on several aspects of university education and the school-towork transition of graduates, for each of the universities joining the Consortium, AlmaLaurea is a precious source of information to assess the quality of tertiary education in a comparative perspective across athenaeums, faculties, provinces, fields of studies and so on. The quality of education can be assessed by looking not only at the course attendance and other parameters regarding the university track of graduates, but also at their early labour market performance.

The sample on which this study is based includes about 27,000 pre-reform university graduates who obtained their degree in 2005 at one of the 36 universities belonging to the Consortium at that time. The large number of observations confirms the fact that the AlmaLaurea is by far the largest dataset of university graduates in the country (and also elsewhere). A smaller dataset would not allow controlling for a number of factors that do affect the overeducation experience. The smallest number of graduates who had completed the "3+2" Bologna type of programme in 2005 and answered therefore the interview at the 5<sup>th</sup> year after graduation prevented us from including this group in the analysis.

Individuals in the sample are observed at the time of their graduation and, thereafter, in 2006, in 2008 and in 2010. The focus of this paper is on individuals who answer the questionnaire at the 5<sup>th</sup> year after graduation, which should allow us catching the determinants of permanent, rather than transient overeducation<sup>4</sup>. Graduates in Medicine are excluded from the sample because almost all of them are still attending the professional practice (5-year long) that is a formal requirement to seat the professional test and start the activity as a medical doctor.

In the sample, only 13500 graduates are employed in 2010. This confirms the highest degree of youth non-employment and the extremely long school-to-work transition also among university graduates<sup>5</sup>.

### 2.2.Definition of variables

The AlmaLaurea dataset has a very detailed questionnaire providing a wealth of information on educational background, school and university performance, field of study, post-graduate studies and early

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For further details, see the homepage: <u>http://www.almalaurea.it/universita/profilo/profilo2007/premessa/info-variabili.shtml</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This paper focuses on a cross-section dimension, leaving to future research the aim of looking at the longitudinal dimension of the data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The length of the school-to-work transition is even longer for those who do not succeed in obtaining a degree. Quintini, Martin and Martin (2007, Table 2) reckon that the expected length of the school-to-work transition amounted to 62.4 months in 1995, 70.5 months in 2000 and 51.3 months in 2005, after the introduction of temporary work for a large number of young people.

labour market experiences. These factors are able to detect important aspects of the quality of education and of individual ability, allowing us investigating the possible relationship of these variables with overeducation in a way that has never been possible earlier, not only in Italy, but also in other countries.

The employment questionnaire administered after graduation includes two questions that apply different definitions of the educational / skill mismatch. These are subjective measures in as much as they are based on an individual' self-assessment<sup>6</sup>.

Question A16 of the questionnaire administered in 2010 (5-years after graduation) asks: "In your current job, do you use the competences acquired during your university studies?" Three answers are possible: 1) the competencies acquires are used to a great extent; 2) they are little used; 3) they are not used at all. We defined as overeducated all those who choose answer 3. This question closely mirrors what Dolton and Silles (2008) call the "to do" definition of the mismatch, also called "overskilling". In other words, it aims to assess whether the skills acquired at the university are necessary "to do" the job, independent of whether they are required to get the job.

Question A17 asks: "Is your university degree necessary to access your current job?" Four answers are possible: 1) the degree is required by law; 2) it is not required by law, but is in fact needed; 3) it is not required by law, but is in fact useful; 4) it is neither required by law nor useful. We defined as overeducated all those who choose answer 4. This question allows us defining what Dolton and Silles (2008) call the "to get" definition of the mismatch, also called "overeducation". In other words, it aims to assess whether the title acquired at the university is necessary to get the job, independent of whether it actually provides the skills that are necessary to do the job.

As it should be clear from the way questions are asked, the AlmaLaurea data does not allowdefining undereducation / underskilling. By changing the baseline group to which the overeducated are typically compared, this missing information is likely to cause a slight underestimation of the wage effect of overeducation / overskilling with respect to the weakest segment of graduates. This should be kept in mind when interpreting the results.

In addition, we adopt the definition of "effectiveness" of the university title that AlmaLaurea itself has elaborated by merging information coming from the questions A16 and A17. As summarised in Table 1, there are five degrees of effectiveness the university title. They move from 1 to 5, where it is:

- equal to 1, when the university title is "very effective" (VE), namely the degree is required by law or necessary *to get* a job *and* also highly needed *to do* the job;

- equal to 2, when it is "effective" (E), namely useful (but neither required by law, not necessary) to get a job and highly needed to do the job or, alternatively, required by law, but not much useful to do the job;

- equal to 3, when it is "quite effective" (QE), namely necessary or useful (but not required by law) to get their job, and little used to do the job;

- equal to 4, when it is "little effective" (LE), namely neither required nor useful to get the job, but at least a little used to do the job or, in other cases, useful to get, but not to do the job;

- equal to 5, when it is "not effective" (NE), namely when the qualification is neither required nor useful to do a job.

Notice that the variable is ordered in decreasing effectiveness of the title and, therefore, the estimated coefficients measure the impact of determinants on the "ineffectiveness" of the title.We also look at the determinants of (in-)effectiveness of the degree. Considering the ordered nature of this variable, the relevant estimates of the determinant of effectiveness of the degree are based on ordered probit models.

#### [Table 1 about here]

Earnings are defined as the natural logarithm of net monthly wages. Question A20 asks the interviewee to declare to which of 13 classes of  $\notin$ 250of monthly earnings, up to the "over  $\notin$ 3,000" class, (s)he belongs to. For ease of analysis, the natural logarithm is applied to the average value of the relative class. No information on working hours is collected at five years from graduation, which prevents us from using hourly wages.

Information on characteristics at graduation and employment status, available in separate files and databases, are, in fact, merged to form a single data set useful for evaluation of the success of universities in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Alternative "objective" measures of overeducation include: a) a job analyst assessment of the educational requirements of jobs; b) "statistical overeducation", conventionally meant as the case when the individual educational level is one standard deviation higher than the mean or mode of the distribution. The latter method allows computing in a similar manner also under-education.

producing human capital that the market is actually requesting. The questionnaire at the time of graduation includes questions regarding individual characteristics, family background and the way graduates have attended university. It means that this information – say whether she was married - is available only at the time of graduation, not five years later.

The independent variables are self-explaining. They have been divided in: a) individual characteristics (gender, civil status, having children, nationality), observed at the time of graduation; b) educational background (grade and type); c) family background (parents' educational level); d) university attendance and performance (final grade, time to get a degree, field of study, localisation of Athenaeum, experience of study abroad, work and study, pre-university work experience); e) graduate studies and professional experiences; f) job characteristics (job search method, migration, hours worked, firms' ownership, type of contract); g) local unemployment rate defined as 1994-'99 average unemployment rate at the provincial level (NUTS3).

For individuals working abroad, we use the OECD – Europe average unemployment rate over the same years. For individuals who do not declare their place of residence, we use the average provincial unemployment rate.

The baseline group includes single Italian men with no children, no study abroad experience, that study and work, who graduated in Engineering from a university located in the North-West in the curricular time with magna cum laude, whose parents have both a university degree, who attended the Lyceum focusing on sciences, with no post-graduate education or training, but who did some occasional work while at school, holding a full time, permanent job in the private sector, found thanks to his personal initiative. Table A.1. in the Appendix reports descriptive statistics.

#### 2.3.Methodology for estimating the wage effect

A first set of estimates will assess the impact of several individual characteristics on the probability that a university graduate experiences overeducationEstimating by maximum likelihood the following type of probit model:

$$Pr(0 = 1|X) = \Phi(X|\beta)$$
<sup>[1]</sup>

where *O* is a binary variable with outcome 1 in case of overeducation and *O* otherwise; *X* is a vector of regressors and  $\beta$  is a vector of parameters; *Pr* denotes probability and  $\Phi$  is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

When the dependent variable is the "ineffectiveness" of the university degree, since it is an ordinal type of outcome – categorical and ordered, we estimate the following ordered probit model:

$$Pr(l_j = 1|X) = Pr(k_{i-1} < X_j\beta + u < k_i) = \Phi(k_i - X_j\beta) - \Phi(k_{i-1} - X_j\beta)$$

$$[2]$$

Where  $I_j$  is the ineffectiveness of the university degree;  $u_j$  are normally distributed residuals;  $k_i$  are cut off points, with i=1, ..., n the number of cut off points, which equal 5 in this case. Coefficients and cut off points are estimated simultaneously.

Unfortunately, the years of overeducation / overskilling are not available in the AlmaLaurea data. This prevents us from applying the classical ORU specification (Over-, Required, and Undereducation), which Duncan and Hoffman(1981)formulated in terms of years of required schooling, overschooling and underschooling. A simplified version of the Verdugo and Verdugo (1989) specification provides hence the empirical framework to estimate the wage penalty of overeducation / overskilling<sup>7</sup>. In other words, the usual Mincerian earnings equation is augmented of the overeducation / overskilling term:

$$Lnw_i = \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{\beta} + rO_i + u_i$$

[3]

where Ln  $w_i$  is the natural logarithm of the net monthly wage for an individual *i*,  $X_i$  is a set of variables assumed to affect earnings (see the previous sub-section for a full list of regressors),  $O_i$  is a dummy taking a value of one when the individual is overeducated / overskilled, and  $u_i$  is a disturbance term representing other forces which may not be explicitly measured, assumed independent of **X** iand  $O_i$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> According to Leuven and Oosterbeek (2011, section 5), where available the specification in terms of years of schooling should be preferred to the specification in terms of educational qualifications. For instance, the latter mixes up years of required with years of completed education.

Notice that missing the undereducation / underskillingterm, the baseline includes also this group, which is likely to underestimate the negative impact of overeducation / overskilling on earnings.

In addition, since every individual in the sample is a university graduate, no variable for (potential) years of (attained) schooling is included. Nonetheless, we control for the actual years of schooling indirectly through the number of extra-curricular years spent to attain the degree. Work experience is measured indirectly through the number of months that the individual has employed to find a job. Given the short work horizon of individuals in the sample, no quadratic term is used for work experience.

Clearly, r is the coefficient of interest: it measures the wage effect of being overeducated / overskilled, rather than being adequately educated or undereducated (in the baseline). Dolton and Vignoles (2000) argue that the human capital theory would postulate that r=0, because earnings should be affected by human capital, which supposedly is a factor of labour productivity, rather than by the job's educational requirement. Therefore, finding that  $r \neq 0$  would imply a breachto the human capital theory. In particular, if the job's educational requirement does affect earnings, then graduates will be paid like their peers with lower educational attainment, rather than with the same educational qualification. This implies a wage penalty for overeducation, namely r < 0. Conversely, Groot and Maassen van den Brink (2000) argue that human capital is not only made of education, but also of work experience. Then, the case when r < 0 might indicate a lack of work experience in the overeducated and, therefore, indirect confirmation of the human capital theory.

The above earnings function is a log-linear transformation of an exponential function and can be estimated by OLS. The coefficients have a semi-elasticity interpretation. They measure the ceteris paribus percentage change in the dependent variable for any unit change in any independent variable<sup>8</sup>.

As it is typical of the literature on overeducation, where defined also for the non-employed, the determinants of wages are assumed to be the same as those of overeducation / overskilling, listed in the previous section. As already noted in previous sections, ignoring the non-employed when estimating the wage effect of overeducation might generate a bias whose direction is in principle ambiguous. According to the job competition (and the job assignment<sup>9</sup>) model, unemployment is essentially involuntary in nature and, as a consequence, the most skilled among graduates (also when possessing attributes that are not much on demand in the labour market) are the first to get job offers and accept them as their best alternative. In other words, sample selection bias arises because of the fact that the mismatch of possessed and required skills appears first of all in the form of a lower probability of job finding and only at a later stage takes the form of a wage penalty. Once controlling for the selection bias arising from the presence of non-employment, the wage penalty of those experiencing an educational mismatch might be much higher. Because of sample selection bias, OLS estimates of the wage penalty would be downward biased (in absolute terms).

Conversely, according to the search theoretical model, unemployment is a voluntary choice and the most skilled graduates prefer to wait into the non-employment pool until when they get the best job offer they can. Accordingly, because of sample selection bias, OLS estimates of the wage penalty would be upward biased (in absolute terms).

We control for the possible omitted heterogeneity bias arising from measuring overeducation only among the employed by the Heckman (1979) econometric specification - sometimes called Heckit for consonance to the Tobit model – of the earnings equation, where the usual OLS estimates are corrected for the lower / higher employment opportunities of the most skilled and motivated among those whose personal attributes would lead to overeducation if they were employed.

The Heckit model was originally thought to explain the higher returns to education of employed women in terms of their greater motivation with respect to the non-employed women. The basic intuition of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> When the regressor is a continuous variable, the elasticity at the mean of the covariates, namely the percentage change in the regressand for a percentage change in the regressor, can be computed multiplying the coefficient by the mean of the regressor:  $\beta \overline{X}$ . In the case of independent dummy variables, like levels of education attainment, the semi-elasticity

interpretation is flawed and, following Halvorsen and Palmquist (1980), it should be computed as:  $(e^{\beta} - 1) * 100$ . This formula measures the percentage change in the median wage, which is less affected by outliers. Nonetheless, many authors interpret also the estimated coefficients of dummy variables directly as semi-elasticity. This is acceptable when the estimated coefficient is sufficiently close to zero.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>We thank Peter Sloane for pointing out that in fact the basic assumption that we want to test here is not only implicit in the job competition, but also in the job assignment model.

model in the case here under consideration is that the earnings equation is missing some variable able to explain the different degree of participation of the individuals that have attributes not much on demand in the labour market. If one finds the factors affecting the labour market participation of the overeducated, but not their wages, it is possible to estimate a specific equation, the so-called participation (or selection) equation. It has as a dependent variable a dummy taking a value of one if an individual is employed and zero otherwise and, thus, obtain a measure of her probability to participate in the labour market (the inverse Mills ratio). Adding this last variable to the main equation, in this case the earnings equation, would provide a test of the existence of sample selection bias and correct the coefficients in case the added variable proves to be statistically significant. Analytically, model [3] consists now of two equations:

$$Lnw_i = \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + rO_i + u_i, \text{ with } E(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$$
<sup>[4]</sup>

$$S = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad z\gamma + v \ge 0 \tag{5}$$

The main equation [4] is nothing else but the usual earnings equation and can be estimated by OLS. The selection equation [5] can be estimated by probit. Sample selection arises when there is correlation between u and v. In this case, and assuming that u is independent of z, the expected value of  $Lnw_i$ , will be:

$$E(Lnw_i|z,v) = \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{\beta} + rO_i + \rho \lambda(z\gamma)$$
<sup>[4]</sup>

where  $\rho$  is the correlation between the error terms of the main and of the participation equation and  $\lambda$  is the inverse Mills ratio evaluated at  $z\gamma$ . This equation shows that when there is sample selection, in order to obtain unbiased estimates of r, one should include the term  $\lambda(z\gamma)$  as an additional regressor. In fact, if  $\rho=0$ ,  $\lambda(z\gamma)$  does not appear, and OLS will consistently estimate r. However, if  $\rho$  is different form 0, ignoring the  $\lambda(z\gamma)$  term is equivalent to omitting a statistically significant variable, and all the coefficients, including r, will be biased. The inverse Mills ratio can be estimated by probit in the first step and in the second step the correlation with the dependent variable can be estimated by OLS. In addition to this two-step procedure, the two equations above can be simultaneously estimated by maximum likelihood, as done in this study.

Following Wooldrige (2003, p. 588), the independent variables in the main equation, x, should be a strict subset of the independent variables in the selection equation, z. In other words, the selection equation should include all the variables contained in the main equation – since the explanatory variables in the main equation usually affect also the probability of selection through wages –, plus some additional variables which should be chosen in such a way that they affect participation, but not earnings. This is because otherwise there could be a high correlation between  $\lambda$  and x, which would lead to multicollinearity in the main equation.

Following the existing literature (see, for instance, Nicaise, 2001; Cutillo and Di Pietro, 2006, p, 148), we instrumentlabour market participation by using family commitment variables, namely the civil status and the number of dependent children. Most graduates are just single, but there is also a small share of them who are married, co-habitant or separated, divorced or widowed. Notice that in Table 5 these variables do not affect wages and therefore are suitable instruments. Among adults, in principle, family commitment should affect in a different way the labour market participation of men and women, and specifically increase the former and reduce the latter. To test whether this hypothesis applies also to graduates during their early labour market experiences, each instrumental variable is interacted with a gender dummy in the pooled estimates.

Based on this line of reasoning, we expect that if the coefficient of overeducation / overskilling in the Heckman corrected estimates is lower or equal to the OLS, then the job search model is accepted. Otherwise, we reject the job search model in favor of the job competition and job assignment models. Analytically:

H<sub>0</sub>:  $\left| r_{Heck}^{O_i} \right| \le \left| r_{OLS}^{O_i} \right|$  (Job search model is valid)

H<sub>1</sub>:  $\left| r_{Heck}^{O_i} \right| > \left| r_{OLS}^{O_i} \right|$  (Job competition and job assignment models are valid)

Where i = 1, 2, in case of overeducation or overskilling respectively.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1.Size and composition

The AlmaLaurea databank confirms a picture where the share of the overeducated / overskilled is roughly similar to that found in other EU countries. Table 2 shows that one year after graduation, the overskilled and the overeducated amounted to 16.5 and 13.2 per centrespectively, to reduce at a roughly constant pace down to 11.4 and 8.0 per cent respectively at the end of the considered period. It means a reduction down only to 69.1 and 60.6% of the original value. In other words, the phenomenon is quite persistent over time, suggesting that for a large number of individuals it is *not* a transitory phenomenon<sup>10</sup>. The correlation between overeducation and overskilling amounts to 0.52.

#### [Table 2 about here]

Overeducation and overskilling are not invariant to the field of study attended at the university, just the opposite. Figure 1 shows, in fact, that overeducation ("to get" definition) fluctuates from virtually zero in the case of Architecture, Chemistry and Pharmacy, Engineering, Sciences to above 10 per cent in the case of Geology and Biology (10.2%), Physical education (12.2%), Languages (13.2%), Political Sciences (14.0%), Arts (17.9%). The ability of the type of qualification achieved to provide access to a liberal profession, which applies to most of the former qualifications, but not to the latter, is likely to be an important factors of these differences. Some fields of study , such as Economics and Statistics, Law, and Education provide some preparation to pass public competitions in the state sector, where the university degree is not only necessary to get a job, but also, at a later stage, to gain higher earnings and a quicker working career.

#### [Figure 1 about here]

Overskilling roughly follows the same pattern, with slightly higher shares for each field of study. Some fields of study experience a relatively greater increase in the share of the overskilled with respect to that of the overeducated. It is the case of Agriculture, Geology and Biology, and Sciences. This is probably because these last fields of study tend to give some form of cushion against overeducation, by providing easier access to a graduate job, but not against overskilling. Young graduatesmight get access to sectors that require different skills from those acquired at the university or, also in case of a good job worker match, at the beginning, they might be employed in tasks that are inferior in terms of skills requested, probably because of the lack of work experience.

Omitted elaborations show that there are only negligible gender differences in the probability of overeducation by field of study. Women tend to perform slightly better if their degree is in humanities and worse if it is in scientific and technical fields. This finding lines towards what Leuven and Oosterbeek (2011, p. 20) note in their survey with reference to other countries.

#### [Figure 2 about here]

The previous figures provide indirect support for the career mobility theory, in as much as a large share of overeducated / overskilled tend to find the right job for them just within five years. Nonetheless, the support is only partial in as much as the number of overeducated / overskilled remains still high five years after graduations. A fuller test of this theoretical hypothesis will be probably possible only in the future when the AlmaLaurea enquiry about the jobs held by university graduates ten years after graduation will be ready for use. In what follows, we focus on the overeducated five years from their university degree because we trust that this will allow us catching the permanent characteristics of the phenomenon under scrutiny.

#### 3.2. Determinants

Table 3 reports PROBIT estimates of the probability to be overeducated (column 1) or overskilled (column 2). Column 3 of the table also provides the estimated coefficients of an ordered PROBIT model of the (in-)effectiveness of the university qualification on a scale from 1 (very effective) to 5 (not effective), as based on the definition provided in Table 1. The last rows of column 3 provide the estimated cut off points of the ordered PROBIT model. Overall, the estimates are quite satisfactory for these types of cross-section data, with values of the pseudo- $R^2$  ranging from 0.14 to 0.20.

Overall, the factors associated to overeducation are consistent with the well-known image of a very immobile social structure. The same groups that are at disadvantage in achieving higher education are at disadvantage also in their access to the labour market and tend to experience very often also overeducation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>There are several small differences from the REFLEX data that are hard to explain in full. A different composition and size of the sample and slightly different formulations of the questions used to measure overeducation / overskillingmight explain such differences.

and / or overskilling. The common factors of success can be found in the socio-educational background, which affects educational choice and labour market outcomes through school tracking, as now a large literature has proven (see, among others, Checchi, 2003; Cappellari, 2004; Brunello and Checchi, 2007; Bratti, Checchi and de Blasio, 2008; Caroleo and Pastore, 2012). As Caroleo and Pastore (2012) argue, in a study based on AlmaLaurea data, there is adualism in the youth school-to-work transition. On the one hand, some successful young people, coming from a good socio-educational background, are more likely to choose a lyceum at high school and, after that, to attend a university programme, with a good performance. On the other hand, other more numerous young people choose a professional or technical school and then go to the university without adequate educational background. The latter group experiences dramatic difficulties in completing their university education and also in finding a job.

Such individual characteristics as gender, civil status and having children seem to have little impact on the probability to be overeducated. A possible explanation for civil status and having children is that, as already noted in the previous section, the questions on which this information is based were asked at the time of graduation and therefore might not apply anymore five years later.

The probability of experiencing the educational mismatch is higher among those graduates who experience a longer non-employment spell after graduation, perhaps as a consequence of a reduction in their reservation wage. This finding is partly different from other countries, such as Belgium (see, for instance, Nicaise, 2001), where longer unemployment spells are associated with more careful job search and lower probability of overeducation. The reason is likely to lie in the highest youth unemployment rate in the country, which discourages young people from being demanding when receiving a job offer.

Confirming a finding relative to the USA, UK and Germany (see, for a survey of recent contributions, Leuven and Oosterbek, 2011, section 4.2), several aspects of an individual educational background correlate with the educational mismatch. The higher is the final grade at high secondary school, the lower is the probability to be overeducated. Graduating in technical, professional high schools or in pedagogic, where typically young people with a lowest socio-economic background tend to gather, is associated with a greater chance of being overeducated later in their working life. Notice that coefficients are always higher in the case of overskilling.

Once controlling for the high school background, it should come as no surprise that the educational background of parents does not affect the probability to be overeducated / overskilled, since, as already noted, the family background correlates with the performance at high school and the choice of the type of diploma (so-called school tracking). Although being totally free, the choice of the high school tends to reflect the social class to which the young person belongs to:in fact, people from a poor walk of life tend to choose technical or professional schools and, hence, to experience problems in their educational careerlater on.

The university background and performance arealso factors and, interestingly, they are much more so when looking at overskilling rather than at overeducation: in fact, in the latter case, the tertiary qualification is the only important condition to get a job (the so-called sheepskin effect), independent of the competencies held, which are instead decisive to understand overskilling. In other words, the probability "to get" a job that is inferior than her own qualification is independent of individual ability, whereas the probability "to do" a job that is inferior to her own skills depends also on individual ability, which would tend firms to employ graduates in simpler tasks. As a consequence, a bad university performance is less likely to affect overeducation than overskilling<sup>11</sup>.

By the same token, also other proxies of the quality of education – the time spent to get a degree, the final grade and the type of degree achieved – are all proxies of the quality of education and tend, therefore, to correlate more positively with the probability of being overskilled, rather than being overeducated. Having got a degree with five years of delay with respect to the curricular time is the exception<sup>12</sup>. It does affect also overeducation, since most probably implies a much lower level of competencies than average.

Even after controlling for a number of individual characteristics, the type of degree remains a very important determinants of overeducation / overskilling. The latter are associated with any other degree but

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> In a way, "overskilling" can be taken as a case of "underskilling", in the sense that even when the individual is in a graduate job, she appears to the firm not to possess sufficient skills (work experience perhaps) to be employed in tasks that are up to her competencies.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  It is well known that only a minority of university students attain their degree in the curricular years. Abating the problem of *fuoricorsismo*, namely the tendency of university students to overcome the curricular years was one of the main declared aims of the recent university reforms. Nonetheless, this objective has been failed.

Engineering, and Mathematics and Physics. Particularly strong is the *ceteris paribus* impact of holding a degree in Arts, Languages, Physical education and Education on the chance of being overeducated and / or overskilled.

Also the effectiveness of *laurea*<sup>13</sup> in the labour marketis strictly dependent on university background (see Column 3). The shorter the time needed to get a degree and the higher is the grade, the greater is the effectiveness of the degree.

The localisation of the athenaeum matters. In fact, since it takes a long time to get a degree, most graduates tend to seek jobs in the place where they got their degree. Of course, people getting a degree in the North, no matter whether in the West or in the East, have a lower chance of being overeducated or overskilled. Also the Centre has a lower share of overskilling than the South, probably thanks to the larger share of public sector jobs, whose share of overeducation is lower than average.

Studying abroad does not seem to affect the probability of overeducation. Also studying while working does not affect in a statistically significant way the probability to be overeducated or overskilled, but when the graduate is continuing the job that she had already before starting the university programme. The overeducated/overskilled are more frequent among those who started the university after finding employment, since they started most probably their job as high school graduates only and five years are not sufficient to improve their position in the static Italian labour market.

Interestingly, having completed some post-graduate training or advanced master course represents a cushion against the risk of overeducation, confirming the importance of institutions able to increase the job specific competences of graduates, which the educational system and the labour market are unable to satisfy<sup>14</sup>.

The overeducated are more frequent among the graduates who found their job through a network of family and friends and through the request of recommendation by the employer. Interestingly, this search methods increase also the "effectiveness" of the university degree in the labour market. Overall, this finding suggests that finding a job earlier is likely to bring with it an increased probability of overeducationas a trade-off.

Finding a job as a continuation of a *stage* or other on-the-job training programme reduces the chance of finding a job, but also of experiencing overeducation and to a lesser extent overskilling. This suggests that more difficult search methods bring with them also better results in terms of the quality of the job worker match.

Moving is typically associated, also in theoretical models, with a lower chance of overeducation if mobility is due to a rational choice. However, in the case of Italy this does not seem to be the case. The only (negative) statistically significant effect of mobility on the probability of overeducation regards those who decide to move to the South and the Islands, where the labour market is less developed, the production structure is more oriented towards traditional manufacturing and also the quality of employment is lower.

Furthermore, there is a strong correlation between the probability of overeducation and overskilling among the graduates who are involved in starter contracts / training and work contract / apprenticeship, temporary contracts, without contract. This might be taken as evidence that those who are in some way weaker in the labour market and therefore need to find a job through some temporary arrangement have also to accept jobs for which they are overeducated / overskilled.

The county level unemployment rate seems to be negatively related to the probability of overeducation, but not to overskilling, although the university degree is less effective in areas with a higher unemployment rate. This finding seems in line with that of Franzini and Raitano (2009) who find that overeducation is lower in high unemployment areas and relate this to the greater share of public sector jobs there.

[Table 3 about here]

3.3. The wage effect

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> This is the Italian name for a university degree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> As Caroleo and Pastore (2007) note, the youth unemployment problem essentially depends on the youth experience gap that the educational system seems unable to overcome. The Italian system follows the sequential, in as much as it foresees that training should come not during (like in the dual system), but after general education.

What is the wage penalty associated to overeducation / overskilling?Table 4 provides summary measures, including the unconditional estimate, the conditional one (based on Table 5) and that obtained including controls for sample selection bias (based on Table 6). The unconditional wage penalty has been estimated using both an OLS and an ordered probit specification.

Different from what previous studies on Italy found (see, Ferrante, McGuinness and Sloane, 2010), the unconditionalwage penalty is relatively high, especially in the case of overskilling (-100%). In fact, ceteris paribus being overeducated implies a wage reduction of 33%.

#### [Table 4 about here]

However, the unconditional measure of the wage penalty might catch such factors as the lower than average characteristics of the overeducated / overskilled. In other words, such a high unconditional penalty might disappear once controlling for the lower than average levels and quality of human capital of the overeducated. Such characteristics might be observed or unobserved.

Table 4 reports also a conditional measure of the wage penalty as obtained in simple OLS estimates including all the variables of the AlmaLaurea data baseas controls (as in Table 5). Interestingly, once controlling for the level and quality of human capital, the wage gap of the overskilled becomes statistically insignificant, while that of the overeducated goes up by about 10% of the wage of the baseline group, reaching -42.5%.

How to explain this result? In the case of overskilling, the observed quantity and quality of human capital possessed by the overskilled are actually lower than average, which totally explain their lower earnings. In other words, the least skilled are involved in jobs where they are assigned tasks that are lower than what they consider being their supposed skill level. This is not surprising, since overskilling is a variable based on a self-assessment of the actual skill level possessed by the individual to do the job that they actually have. This findingcan be taken to mean that young people have actually made the right assessment of their skill level.

In a similar vein, in the case of overeducation, the fact that the conditional wage penalty is slightly higher than the unconditional one might suggest that the skill level of the overeducated, as measured based on observed characteristics, is slightly higher than that required to get a job.

As to the other determinants of earnings they are quite similar to those typically found in the case of young graduates. Interestingly, the gender gap is not statistically significant in the case of young graduates. This has been already noted in previous studies. In fact, the fact itself that female wages are not higher than males is already a case of discrimination, considering the higher level and quality of human capital of young women in the country (and elsewhere). In addition, young women tend to have the same wages as men, simply because they have not experience maternity yet. The wage penalty increases dramatically in the late thirties, when women tend to give birth.

Having waited longer after graduation before finding a job reduces earnings by about 2% per month. Holding a pedagogic diploma increases earnings by over 35%. The final grade does not seem to affect earnings, but when the grade is the lowest: having attained less than 90 out of 110 implies a wage penalty of about 45% five years after graduation. The type of degree does not seem to affect earnings, except for graduates in Mathematics and Physics, who have a wage premium ranging between 38% and 54%. Other relevant regressors include having attended some post-graduate training programme, including the practice that is necessary to access liberal professions, which increases wages by over 50%. Vice versa, having had a study scholarship or a voluntary civil service brings with it a wage penalty, although it is less sizeable once controlling for overskilling. Again moving does not seem to affect earnings in any positive way, at least five years after graduation. In fact, it brings with it quite a sizeable wage penalty. Also holding a part-time job means losing about 85% of the average wage. Working in the health services sector or in the public administration or the army brings with it a remarkable wage premium. The self-employed and also workers holding other types of atypical work also enjoy sizeable wage premiums.

#### [Table 5 about here]

As a further check, we test whether the impact of overeducation on wages is independent of that of overskilling. In other words, what matters more in terms of wage penalty? Table 6 attempts to answer this question by reporting coefficients of OLS unconditional and conditional earnings equations, where there are three variables for the educational mismatch: overeducation only, overskilling only and overeducation and overskilling. The results suggest that the weakest group is represented by those who are at the meantime overeducated and overskilled. They have an unconditional wage penalty of about 24% and a conditional wage penalty of half that size. Overskilling only is again almost entirely caught by observed characteristics of individuals, such as the performance at school and at the university.

The last row of the table reports also the coefficients of the effectiveness of the university title as defined in the data section. Any point increase in the degree of effectiveness implies an unconditional wage penalty of 3.6% and a conditional wage penalty of about 3%. Moving from a title that is "very effective" to one that is "not effective" means a wage loss of 15% conditional and of 18% unconditional.

#### [Table 6 about here]

#### 3.4. The Heckit estimates

However, as noted in a previous section, OLS estimates do not control for possible unobserved differences between the overeducated and the non-employed, who might also experience the educational mismatch. In earnings equations, overeducation is observed only among the employed, not the non-employed. As explained in the previous section, the Heckit methodology is used to control for this possible source of bias.

Table 7 reports the results of earnings equations estimated with the Heckman correction. A maximum likelihood simultaneous estimate is preferred to the two step procedure. The main equation is a typical Mincerian earnings equation, while the selection equation is a Probit estimate of the probability to be employed rather than non-employed. We apply the rule that variables in the main and selection equation should be the same, except for some instrumental variables. This implies that the set of regressors used here are only a sub-set of those used in the OLS equation.

The instrumental variables have been chosen according to the criterion that they should affect the probability to participate to the labour market, but not wages. The instrumental variables are those typically used to predict labour force participation in these cases, namely: civil staus, having children and the local unemployment rate.

The instrumental variables have the expected sign. Being married reduces the reservation wage and therefore increases the probability to participate to the labour market. The opposite applies to having children. The local unemployment rate reduces the probability to participate to the labour market. The instruments are statistically significant, except for the local unemployment rate.

The arthrho is positive and statistically significant, while the log sigma is statistically significant.

We find, in fact, that the wage penalty associated to overeducation has slightly reduced (in absolute terms) with respect to the conditional value, whereas the wage penalty associated to overskilling has dramatically increased (in absolute terms) with respect to the conditional one. In the case of overskilling, the wage penalty also goes back almost up to the unconditional level, suggesting that the unobserved characteristics in terms of human capital of the overskilled are much better than those of the non-employed who experience the educational mismatch. In other words, non-employment is featured by a higher, not lower degree of educational mismatch. Overall, this evidence can be taken to support the job competition and job assignment models in the case of the overskilled, but not of the overeducated.

In the case of the overeducated, the Heckit model is probably catching a sheepskin effect: the qualification itself is important to determine wages, rather than motivation and ability. An alternative explanation is that, at least in the public sector, where public competitions are necessary to enter the job, the most skilled wait for the best job to come and that they expect to get at the next public competition.

Interestingly, also the gender pay gap emerges in estimates corrected for sample selection bias: from not statistically significant in simple OLS estimates, it becomes now statistically significant and sizeable. This suggests that non-employed women are much less skilled and motivated than the employed ones.

[Table 7 about here]

## Discussion, concluding remarks and policy implications

This paper has attempted to study the main characteristics, determinants and labour market effect of overeducation / overskilling using, for the first time, the AlmaLaurea database. This is the largest and most valuable source of information at an individual level on university graduates available in the country, and also elsewhere. The sample includes all pre-reform university graduates (excluding Medicine) from 36 universities located all over the country. The focus of the survey is on the educational performance and early

labour market experience of graduates up to the fifth year after graduation. It allows us, hence, establishing a number of links with overeducation that have never been investigated before with the same detail.

The size of the phenomenon is in the AlmaLaurea slightly lower than in the REFLEX data. Overeducation falls from 13.2% after one year to 8.0% after five years. The comparable figures for overskilling are 16.5% and 11.4%.Like in other countries, overskilling is generally more common than overeducation and mirrors the perceived feeling of inadequacy of young people in the labour market due to the lack of work experience and the tendency of firms to employ them in tasks that do not fully use the competences gained by individuals. This interpretation is based on evidence coming from the analysis of the determinants of overeducation.

The factors that are associated to overeducation are consistent with the well-known image of an immobile social structure, whereas not only the success at school and at the university, but also in the labour market dramatically depends on the socio-educational background of young people. In fact, the probability of being overeducated and, above all, overskilled is higher among those graduates who experience a higher duration of unemployment, a lower final grade at high secondary school; a longer time elapsed to get the university degree; a lower final grade and residing in or moving to the South of the country; having parents with a low educational level; graduating in technical or professional school, where typically young people with a poor walk of life tend to gather.

In addition, the overeducated are more frequent among those who started the university after finding employment, since they started most probably their job as high school graduates only and five years are not sufficient to improve their position in the static Italian labour market. Interestingly, having completed some post-graduate training or advanced master course represents a cushion against the risk of overeducation, confirming the importance of institutions able to increase the job specific competences of graduates, which the educational system and the labour market are unable to satisfy.

The overeducated are more frequent among the graduates who found their job through a network of family and friends and through the request of recommendations' letters by the employer. Interestingly, this search method increases also the "effectiveness" of the university degree in the labour market. Finding a job as a continuation of a *stage* or other on-the-job training programme reduces the chance of overskilling more than that overeducation.

Furthermore, there is a strong correlation between the probability of overeducation and overskilling among the graduates who are involved in starter contracts / training and work contract / apprenticeship, temporary contracts, without contract. This might be taken as evidence that those who are in some way weaker in the labour market and therefore need to find a job through some temporary arrangement have to accept jobs in conditions of overeducation / overskilling.

Finally, the chance of overeducation is strongly associated with any other degree but Engineering and Mathematics and Physics. Particularly strong is the impact of holding a degree in Arts, Languages, Physical education and Education on the chance of being overeducated.

Interestingly, like in Franzini and Raitano (2009), the ceteris paribus probability of overeducation (but not overskilling) is higher in low, not in high unemployment regions. This is probably due to the relatively higher share of public sector jobs in the South.

The correlation between overeducation, but even more so overskilling, on the one hand, and weak educational background and poor university performance, on the other hand, suggests that, in fact, overskilling is a signal of low skills. In other words, even when there is the right match between the qualification held by the graduate and that required to get the job, perhaps due to scant work experience, some graduates might be given tasks for which they feel to be overskilled.

The unconditional wage penalty of the educational mismatch is relatively higher for overskilling (-100%) than for overeducation (-33%). This might mirror the lower human capital endowment of the overeducated / overskilled as compared to the individuals with the required level of skills. In fact, when estimatingthe conditional wage penalty by OLS, we find that it falls down to about 10% of the median wage when we adopt the "to get" definition and to 6.7% when we adopt the "to do" definition of overeducation.

These findings are consistent with a theory where labour demand is less skill biased and, therefore, where the non-employment rate of university graduates is relatively higher than elsewhere. To test this last hypothesis, we move away from traditional OLS estimates, towards modelling strategies able to control for and assess the impact of the (possibly) greater / lower probability of non-employment of the graduates who have a higher degree of educational mismatch as compared to labour demand. In fact, as Nicaise (2001) note, according to the job competition and job assignment model, it is likely that the wage penalty of overeducation is lower than actual when it is estimated only among the employed who are overeducated. The

latter represents the smoothest form of educational mismatch: in other words, the personal attributes that dispose individuals to be mismatched might also reduce the probability of finding a job. In other words, the most dramatic penalty of disposing of attributed that are not requested in the labour market is in terms of the reduced probability to find a job. An alternative hypothesis is also in order. According to the search theoretical model, unemployment is a voluntary choice and the most skilled individuals prefer to stay in the unemployment pool waiting for a better job offer to come. In this case, the OLS would return upward biased estimates of the wage effect of overeducation.

We control for the possible sample selection bias arising from measuring overeducation only among the employed by the Heckit econometric specification of the earnings equation, where the usual OLS estimates are corrected for the lower / higher employment opportunities of those experiencing the educational mismatch. When controlling for sample selection bias, we find that the wage penalty associated to overeducation / overskilling goes up to 35 and 74%, respectively. Our findings provide indirect support for the job competition and job assignment models versus the search theoretical model, suggesting that the non-employed would be more likely overeducated, and more so overskilled, if employed. In other words, the sample selection correction confirms that there is positive selection into employment of the most skilled among those whose personal attributes are less on demand on the labour market. This finding is in line with that of Cutillo and Di Pietro (2006) based on different data.

Overall, the findings of this paper have important policy implications. From the demand side, they suggest that the most important strategy to reduce the share of overeducation and the wage penalty associated to it would be that the country move away from a low towards a high road to development. This is overall the most important strategy to accommodate the increasing supply of human capital of the youngest generation. This would also reduce the share of graduates who possess attributes that are not on demand in the labour market and remain experience a greater difficulty in finding any kind of job.

Related to this point, as Ferrante, McGuinness and Sloane (2010) note, it is important to mention the small average size of Italian firms. Small firms do not manage human resources in such a way to fully exploit and develop them. This is due to their typically informal production structure, the scant propensity to delegate functions to managers, and the lack of on-the-job training programmes.

From the supply side, it is important to: a) increase the quality of tertiary education and of human capital in general; b) reduce the length of studies for the individuals coming from low family background, so to reduce the impact of school tracking on university success; c) provide more guidance for families and students when deciding their field of study at the university; d) provide vocational education and training also at a university level (see, for an interesting proposal, Boeri and Garibaldi, 2011) for individuals with low family background (German solution): this implies adopting the dual principle on a large scale and provide on-the-job training before or soon after the university degree; e) fully implement the Bologna process.

Last, but not least, we find evidence that temporary work arrangements are associated with a higher probability of overeducation / overskilling, which confirms the well known fact that only rarely they involve training programmes. Temporary contracts tend to be used as a substitute for permanent contract, due to the lower labour cost of temporary workers. The cost of temporary work should increase, also foreseeing the obligation to provide on-the-job training to young people.

Future research will exploit the panel dimension of the data to test in a different context the role of ability bias and measurement errors. Panel data analysis should also allow disentangling the wage effect of genuine and of true overeducation detected by looking at the degree of satisfaction for the actual job worker match of graduates.

# References

- Aina, C. and F. Pastore (2012), "Delayed Graduation and Overeducation: A Test of the Human Capital Model versus the Screening Hypothesis", *IZA discussion paper*, n. 6413, March.
- Alma Laurea (2005), Lavorare dopo la laurea. Caratteristiche e percorsi occupazionali, Il Mulino, Bologna.
- Boeri, T. E P. Garibaldi (2011), "Nuovo apprendistato contro lo spreco di capitale umano", <u>www.lavoce.info</u>, 20<sup>th</sup>of September.
- Bratti, M., D. Checchi e G. de Blasio (2008), "Does the Expansion of Higher Education Increase the Equality of Educational Opportunities? Evidence from Italy", Labour, 22(special issue): 53-88.
- Brunello, G., D. Checchi (2007), "Does School Tracking Affect Equality of Opportunity? New International Evidence", *Economic Policy*, 22(52): 781-861.
- Brynin M., Longhi S. (2009), "Overqualification: Major or minor mismatch?", Economics of Education Review, 28(1): 114-121.
- Büchel, F., A. de Grip and A. Mertens (2003, eds.), Overeducation in Europe, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham.
- Cappellari, L. (2004) "The Effects of High School Choices on Academic Performance and Early Labour Market Outcomes," Royal Economic Society Annual Conference, Royal Economic Society.
- F. (2007),"The Caroleo, E. F. Pastore Youth Experience Gap: e ExplainingDifferencesacrossEuropeanCountries", Università di Perugia, Quaderni del Dipartimento di Economia, Finanza e Statistica, n. 41, dicembre.
- Caroleo, F.E. and F. Pastore (2012), "Talking about the Pigou Paradox. Socio-Educational Background and Educational Outcomes of AlmaLaurea", International Journal of Manpower, 33(1): 27-50.
- Checchi, D. (2003), "The Italian Educational System: Family Background and Social Stratification", in ISAE (ed.), Monitoring Italy, ISAE, Rome.
- Checchi, D., A. Ichino e A. Rustichini (1999), "More Equal but Less Mobile? Education Financing and Intergenerational Mobility in Italy and in the US", Journal of Public Economics, 74(3): 351-393.
- Chevalier, A. (2003), "Measuring Over-education", Economica, 70(279): 509-531.
- Croce, G. and E. Ghignoni (2011), "Supply and Demand of Skilled Labour and Overeducation in Europe: A Country Level Analysis", University of Rome "La Sapienza", Typescript.
- Cutillo, A. and G. Di Pietro (2006), "The effects of overeducation on wages in Italy: a bivariate selectivity approach", International Journal of Manpower, 27(2): 143-168.
- Davia M.A., McGuinness S., O'Connell P.J., 2010, Explaining international differences in rate of overeducation in Europe, working paper 365, ESRI.
- Di Pietro, G. and P. Urwin (2006), "Education and Skills Mismatch in the Italian Graduate Labour Market", Applied Economics, 38(1): 79-93.
- Dolton, P. and M.A. Silles (2008), "The Effects of Overeducation on Earnings in the Graduate Labour Market", Economics of Education Review, 27(2): 125-139.
- Dolton, P. and Vignoles, A. (2000). The incidence and effects of overeducation in the U.K. graduate labour market. Economics of Education Review, 19(2):179–198.
- Duncan, G. and Hoffman, S. (1981). The incidence and wage effects of overeducation. Economics of Education Review, 1(1):75-86.
- Ferrante, F. (2010), "«Educational / skillmismatch»: cosa ci dicono i dati AlmaLaurea?", in AlmaLaurea, XII Rapporto sulla condizione occupazionale dei laureati. Investimenti in capitale umano nel future di Italia ed Europa, Il Mulino, Bologna, pp: 101-115.

Freeman, R. (1976), The Overeducated American, Academic Press, New York.

- Franzini, M. and M. Raitano (2009), "Pochi e poco utilizzati? L'overeducation dei laureati italiani", paperpresented to the 50th Annual Conference of the ItalianEconomicsAssociation (Società Italiana degli Economisti), Rome, October.
- Groot, W. (1996), "The incidence of, and returns to overeducation in the UK", Applied Economics, 28(10): 1345-1350.
- Groot, W. and Maassen van den Brink, H. (2000), "Overeducation in the labour market: a meta-analysis", Economics of Education Review, 19(2): 149-58.
- Halvorsen, R. & Palmquist, R. (1980). The Interpretation of Dummy Variables in Semilogarithmic Equations. *American Economic Review*, 70(3): 474-75.
- Heckman, J.J. (1979). Sample selection bias as a specification error. Econometrica, 47(1): 153-62.
- Leuven E. and H. Oosterbeek (2011), "Overeducation and Mismatch in the Labour Market", forthcoming in the Handbook of the Economics of Education (also available as IZA discussion paper, n. 5523).
- Mavromaras, K. G., McGuinness, S., O'Leary, N. C., Sloane, P. J., and Wei, Z. (2010). Job mismatches and labour market outcomes: Panel evidence on Australian university graduates. IZA Discussion Papers 5083, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).
- McGuinness, S. (2006), "Overeducation in the labour market", Journal of Economic Surveys, 20(3): 387-418.
- McGuinness, S. and P.J. Sloane (2010), "«Educational / skillmismatch» nel mercato del lavoro dei laureate: un'analisi comparata", in AlmaLaurea, XII Rapporto sulla condizione occupazionale dei laureati. Investimenti in capitale umano nel future di Italia ed Europa, Il Mulino, Bologna, pp: 101-115.
- Manacorda, M. and B. Petrongolo (2000), "Skill Mismatch and Unemployment in OECD Countries", WirtschaftspolitischeBlatter, 47(1): 72-82.
- Nicaise, I. (2001), "Human capital, reservation wages and job competition: Heckman's lambda reinterpreted", Applied Economics, Vol. 33 No. 3, pp. 309-15.
- Nordin, M., Persson, I. & Rooth, D. O. (2010), "Education-Occupation Mismatch: Is There an Income Penalty?", *Economics of Education Review*, 29(6): 1047-1059.
- Ordine, P. and G. Rose (2009), "Overeducation and Instructional Quality: a Theoretical Model and Some Facts", Journal of Human Capital, 3(1): 73-105.
- Ortiz, L. (2010), "Not the right job, but a secure one: overeducation and temporary employment in France, Italy and Spain", Work, employment and society, 24(1): 47-64.
- Pastore, F. (2009), "School-to-Work Transitions in Italy. A Steeplechase with no Winner?", paper presented at the XXIV AIEL Conference, University of Sassari, 24th-25th of September.
- Pecoraro, M. (2011), "Is there Still a Wage Penalty for being Overeducated but well-matched in Skills? A Panel Data Analysis of a Swiss Graduate Cohort", ," Discussion Papers (IRES Institut de RecherchesEconomiques et Sociales) 2011019, Universitécatholique de Louvain, Institut de RecherchesEconomiques et Sociales (IRES).
- Quintini, G., J.P. Martin e S. Martin (2007), "The Changing Nature of the School-to-Work Transition Process in OECD Countries"; IZA discussion paper, n. 2582, January.
- Robst, J. (2007), "Education and Job Match: The Relatedness of College Major and Work", Economics of Education Review, 26(4): 397-407.
- Rubb, S. (2003), "Overeducation in the Labour Market: A Comment and a Re-Analysis of a Meta-Analysis", Economics of Education review, 22(6): 621-629.
- Sattinger, M. (1993), "Assignment models of the distribution of earnings", *Journal of Economic Literature*, 31(1): 851-80.

- Sloane, P. (2003), "Much Ado about Nothing? What does the Overeducation Literature really Tells us?", in Büchel, F., A. de Grip and A. Mertens (op. cit.),
- Sloane P., Battu H., Seaman P. (1999) "Overeducation, undereducation and the British labour market", Applied Economics, vol. 31.
- Verdugo, R. R. and Verdugo, N. T. (1989), "The impact of surplus schooling on earnings: Some additional findings", The Journal of Human Resources, 24(4):629–643.
- Verhaest D., van der Velden R., 2010, Cross-country differences in graduate overeducation and its persistence, ROA Research Memorandum, 7, Maastricht University.
- Wasmer E., Fredriksson P., Lamo A., Messina J., Peri G. (2005), The Macroeconomics of Education, Fondazione Rodolfo De Benedetti Report.

Wooldridge, J. (2003). Introductory econometrics. Thomson.

# **Appendix of Tables and Figures**

## Table 1. Definition of effectiveness of the university degree

		Usefulr	ness of the	degree	
Use of university competences	Required by law	Necessary	Useful	Neither required nor useful	Does not answer
High	VE	VE	E	NC	NC
Low	E	QE	QE	LE	NC
None	NC	NC	LE	NE	NC
Does not answer	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC

Note: VE means very effective; E = effective; QE = quite effective; LE = little effective; NE = not effective; NC = not ef

Source: AlmaLaurea.

#### Table 2. Overeducation after 1, 3, 5 years of pre-reform graduates. Large definition

Definition	1 year	3 year	5 year
Overskilled ("to do" definition)	16.47	12.49	11.44
Overeducated ("to get" definition)	13.16	9.37	7.99
Number of observations	13500	17223	17387

Source: own elaboration on AlmaLaurea data.

	Probit	Probit	Ordered probi
Dependent variable	Overeducation	Overskilling	Ineffectivenes
	(to get)	(to do)	of the title
Independent variable	(1)	(2)	(3)
Individual Characteristics			
Gender. Default: Men			
Woman	-0.0042	0.0697**	-0.029
	0.000.2	0.0007	0.010
Civil Status. Default: Single	0.0222	0.012	0.0202
Married	0.0322	-0.013	-0.0383
Lives together	0.0654	0.0251	0.0219
Separated, divorced, widow	-0.346	-0.3747	-0.1145
Children Non Italian	-0.1533	-0.0571 -0.1706	-0.023 -0.0639
Non nanan	-0.1555	-0.1700	-0.0039
High socondary Sahaal			-
High secondary School	0.007(***	0.0052***	0.0027**
Months from the degree before finding a job	0.0076***	0.0052***	0.0027**
Final grade at High Secondary school (out of 100)	-0.0036*	-0.0048**	-0.0034***
Type of Secondary high school diploma. Default: Lyceum in sciences Classical high school	0.000	0.0717	0.0216
	0.006	0.0717 0.2800***	-0.0216 0.1625***
Specialisation in teacher training			
Language high school	0.07	0.0198	0.0199
Art school Technical school	0.2694 0.1909***	0.2026 0.0957*	0.1545 0.0964***
			0.1954**
Professional school	0.2482* 0.3573*	0.3788*** 0.3599**	0.1954**
Other high school diploma	0.35/3*	0.3399***	0.2208*
Family background			
Parents' level of education. Default: Both parents got a university degree	0.0005	0.000	0.000
No title	0.0325	-0.0082	-0.322
Primary school	0.1018	0.0437	-0.1082*
Low secondary education	0.0916	0.0107	-0.1318***
High secondary education	0.1391	0.0536	-0.0859*
One parent got a university degree	0.1355	0.0775	-0.0794*
University performance			
Final grade at the university. Default: Magna cum laude			
66-90 out of 110	0.1651	0.3653***	0.2706***
91-100 out of 110	0.1779**	0.2685***	0.1999***
101-105 out of 110	0.1018	0.2962***	0.1466***
106-110 out of 110	0.0901	0.2206***	0.1077***
Time to get a degree. Default: Curricular years			
I extra-curricular year late	0.0958	0.1646	0.1847***
II extra-curricular year late	0.1546	0.1646	0.2098***
III extra-curricular year late	0.1461	0.2756**	0.2759***
IV extra-curricular year late	0.1334	0.2263*	0.2564***
V extra-curricular year late	0.2129*	0.2773**	0.3255***
Field of study. Default: Engineering	0.4000**	0.5045***	0.0(75**
Agriculture	0.4890**	0.5845***	0.2675**
Architecture Chamistry and nharmony	0.0655	0.2047	0.2161***
Chemistry and pharmacy	-0.4469*	-0.4982***	-0.7332***
Economics and statistics	0.3495***	-0.0764 1.0209***	0.2148*** 0.7991***
Physical education Geology and biology	0.9401*** 0.8622***	0.7890***	
Geology and biology	0.8622***	0.3943***	0.5520*** 0.2400***
Law Education	0.7304***	0.3730***	0.2998***
Arts	1.0483***	0.9820***	0.2998***
	0.8095***	0.6611***	0.5984***
Languages Political and social sciences	0.7963***	0.6007***	0.7327***
	0.7963***	0.7273***	0.7453***
Psychology Mathematics and Physics	0.2232	0.4172**	0.1332
Localisation of the Athenaeum. Default: North West	0.2232	0.41/2***	0.1332
	0.0204	0.0120	0.0075
North East	-0.0294	-0.0139	0.0075
Centre South and Islanda	0.1306*	0.1310*	0.0742*
South and Islands Study abroad Default: No study experience abroad	0.2035*	0.2529***	0.1172***
Study abroad. Default: No study experience abroad	0.0222	0.002.4	0.0070
Erasmus experience	-0.0333	-0.0834	-0.0078
Other Study experiences abroad	0.0728	0.0013	-0.0158
Missing	0.0857	-0.0848	0.1515
Work and study. Default: Study and work	0.0775	0.0001	0.0220
Work and study No work experience	-0.0675	0.0801	-0.0338
	-0.1323*	-0.0282	-0.0484

# Table 3. Determinants of overeducation 5 years after graduation. Pure pre-reform graduate in 2005

Work experience is missing	0.0009	-0.0437	-0.1313
Relation with pre-university job. Default: (S)he started a job after the degree			
Current job is the same as that before university	0.4858***	0.3218***	0.3998***
Current job is different from that before university	0.0164	0.0416	0.0227
Post-graduate studies			
Post-graduate studies or professional experiences		-	
Training, apprenticeship aimed at gaining access to a liberal profession	-0.2312***	-0.2387***	-0.2806***
Doctoral studies	-0.2194	-0.031	-0.2097**
Specialisation school	-0.0595	-0.1439*	-0.3004***
Ist level master degree	-0.0494	0.0726	-0.0671
IInd level Master degree	-0.2046**	-0.1047	-0.0888*
Other type of Master degree	-0.0524	-0.0022	-0.0641
Stage / Work grant / Training on-the-job	-0.0836	0.0277	0.034
Public off-the-job training scheme	-0.0096	0.01	0.0506
Study scholarship	-0.1993	-0.2366*	-0.1336*
Voluntary civil service	0.0621	0.0749	0.0985
Job characteristics			
Job-search method. Default: Personal initiative			
Network of family and friends	0.2729***	0.2260***	0.2299***
Continuation of stage / other traineeship	-0.3495***	-0.1656*	-0.1662***
Answering an advertisement	0.1133	0.1282*	0.1287***
Public competition	0.1504	-0.0704	0.1058
Application to teach at school	-0.2821	-0.2175	-0.2778***
Direct call by the employer	0.0959	0.1677*	0.0285
Temporary employment agency	0.1763	0.1477	0.2621***
Request of recommendation by the employer	0.3714***	0.2446*	0.2461***
Other job search channel	0.5230*	0.4831*	0.2406
Job search channel is missing	0.8557*	0.9234*	0.4442
Stay versus moves. Default: Works where (s)he resides			
(S)he works in another region (Nuts2) of the same area of residence (NUTS1)	-0.0799	0.1303	-0.0126
Moved to the North	-0.1426	0.0291	-0.0426
Moved to the Centre	-0.0994	-0.0267	0.0631
Moved to the South or to the Islands	0.5907**	0.1812	0.2925*
Moved abroad	1.8721*	0.5378	-0.0792
Hours worked. Default: Full-time work			
Part-time work	0.3128***	0.2189***	0.2034***
Firm's ownership. Default: Private company			
Public sector job	-0.1225	0.1077	-0.0742
Self-employment and family business	0.1051	0.2069**	0.0611
Type of working contract. Default: Permanent work			
Self-employed	-0.1378	-0.3127***	-0.3419***
Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship	0.4461***	0.2250*	0.1910**
Temporary contract	0.2484***	0.1323**	0.0459
Freelance / Consulting	0.0909	-0.0643	-0.1249**
Other atypical work	0.2184	0.1471	0.1615
Without contract	0.3312**	-0.0217	-0.0132
Contract is missing	-0.0178	0.7066	0.3956
County level unemployment rate (NUTS3)	-0.0176*	-0.0062	-0.0008**
Constant	-3.0744***	-2.6775***	
Cut point 1			0.7119***
Cut point 2			1.4319***
Cut point 3			2.5139***
Cut point 4			3.0198***
N	14028	14578	14230
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.20	0.16	0.14

Note: Legend: \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001. Coefficients have been omitted for some groups of regressors: Sectors of activity (15); Source: own elaboration on AlmaLaurea data.

### Table 4. The wage penalty of overeducation and overskilling

	Overeducation (to get)	Overskilling (to do)
Dependent variable: Natural logarithm of net monthly wages	(1)	(2)
Unconditional estimates		
OLS	-0.3264***	-0.9608***
Ordered PROBIT	-0.4682***	-1.6324***
Conditional estimates		
OLS	-0.4253**	-0.1083
Controlling for sample selection		
Heckman model (ML simultaneous)	-0.3513***	-0.7357***
Heckman model (two steps)	-0.3533***	-0.7339***

Note: The table reports only the coefficient of the overeducation variable. The OLS conditional estimate is obtained with all the control variables included in Table 5. The Heckit estimate based on Maximum Likelihood simultaneous estimate is obtained with all the control variables included in Table 6. The two step estimate is unreported. Legend: \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001.

Source: own elaboration on AlmaLaurea data.

Dependent Variable:	Log of net monthly wage		
Overeducation (to get)	-0.4253**		
Overskilling (to do)		-0.1083	
Gender. Default: Men			
Donna	-0.0516	-0.0488	
Civil Status. Default: Single			
Married	0.1646	0.0546	
Lives together	0.545	0.6926*	
Separated, divorced, widow	-0.0905	-0.0584	
Children	0.4312*	0.4570*	
Months from the degree before finding a job	-0.0190***	-0.0183**	
Final grade at High Secondary school (out of 100)	0.004	0.0044	
Type of Secondary high school diploma. Default: Lyceum in sciences			
Classical high school	0.1374	0.0974	
Specialisation in teacher training	0.3636*	0.4425*	
Language high school	-0.0046	0.0354	
Art school	0.1432	0.0426	
Technical school	-0.0555	-0.1024	
Professional school	0.0713	0.0677	
Other high school diploma	0.258	0.2874	
Final grade at the university. Default: Magna cum laude			
66-90 out of 110	-0.4626**	-0.4460*	
91-100 out of 110	0.1224	0.154	
101-105 out of 110	-0.0008	0.0124	
106-110 out of 110	-0.11	-0.0862	
Field of study. Default: Engineering			
Agriculture	0.0584	-0.004	
Economics and Statistics	0.1938	0.2007	
Law	0.0803	0.0958	
Education	-0.3022	-0.1835	
Atrts	-0.094	-0.1079	
Languages	-0.109	-0.1538	
Political and Social Sciences	-0.1765	-0.1179	
Mathematics and Physics	0.5442**	0.3746*	
Time to get a degree. Default: Curricular years			
I extra-curricular year late	-0.4799*	-0.5252*	
II extra-curricular year late	-0.2329	-0.2516	
III extra-curricular year late	-0.2315	-0.2655	
IV extra-curricular year late	-0.2801	-0.2965	
V extra-curricular year late	-0.1235	-0.1565	
Study abroad. Default: No study experience abroad			
Erasmus experience	0.0161	0.0354	
Other Study experiences abroad	-0.1662	-0.0119	
Work and study	-0.1313	-0.0744	
No work experience	-0.1123	-0.1531*	
Relation with pre-university job. Default: (S)he started a job after the degree			
Current job is the same as that before university	0.0525	-0.0819	
Current job is different from that before university	0.1018	-0.0068	
Post-graduate studies or professional experiences			
Training, apprenticeship aimed at gaining access to a liberal profession	0.5660**	0.5535*	
Doctoral studies	0.4488	0.5483	
Specialisation school	0.0391	0.0435	
Ist level master degree	0.1197	0.1575	
Ind level Master degree	-0.2696	-0.2424	
Other type of Master degree	-0.1659	-0.2424	
	-0.1039	0.0243	
Stage / Work grant / Training on-the-job	0.121	0.034	
Public off-the-job training scheme	-0.4548**	-0.3366*	

# Table 5. OLS earnings equation augmented for overeducation or overskilling

Voluntary civil service	-0.5057**	-0.2795
Job-search method. Default: Personal initiative		
Self-employed	-0.471	-0.4565
Network of family and friends	-0.0502	-0.0109
Continuation of stage / other traineeship	0.4024*	0.3290*
Answering an advertisement	-0.3185**	-0.2580*
Public competition	-0.235	-0.1377
Application to teach at school	0.0138	0.0754
Direct call by the employer	-0.2063	-0.2109
Temporary employment agency	0.2915*	0.1697
Request of recommendation by the employer	-0.0935	-0.2727
Other job search channel	-0.1484	-0.2451
Stayer versus movers. Default: Works where (s)he resides		
(S)he works in another region (Nuts2) of the same area of residence (NUTS1)	0.2819**	0.182
Moved to the Centre	-1.2963***	-1.4838***
Moved abroad	0.4219	0.2288
Part-time work	-0.8825***	-0.8335***
Public sector job	-0.1527	-0.1798
Sector of industry. Default: Education and research		
Agricolture	0.4409	0.469
Mechanicalindustry	0.3133	0.2681
Construction	-0.3031	-0.2226
Chemical and Oilindustry	0.5558*	0.4735
Other manufacturing	0.0916	0.2192
Trade	0.0453	0.0487
Credit and insurance	0.2045	0.2635
Mail, Transportation and telecommunications	0.305	0.4264
Legal and Fiscal Services	-0.5071	-0.5304
Informatics	0.0241	0.1848
Otherservices	-0.1823	0.1411
Public Administration and Army	0.7226**	0.6872*
Health	0.8187**	0.9272**
Otherservices	-0.215	-0.2504
Type of working contract. Default: Permanent work		
Self-employed	0.4628*	0.5312*
Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship	0.0678	-0.0914
Temporary contract	0.1857	0.1465
Freelance / Consulting	0.251	0.1768
Other atypical work	0.8809***	0.6429***
Without contract	-0.2195	-0.7243*
County level unemployment rate (NUTS3)	0.0202	0.0571
Constant	7.0221***	6.8322***
N	11385	1138
LI	2.90E+04	2.80E+04
Aic	-5.80E+04	-5.60E+0

Note: The estimates regard a cross-section of pure pre-reform students who graduated in 2005, observed in 2010. OLS estimates. Legend: \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001. Source: own elaboration on AlmaLaurea data.

#### Table 6. Different specifications of the wage penalty

	OLS unconditional estimates	OLS conditional estimates
Overeducation only (to get)	-0.1629***	-0.0728***
Overskillingonly (to do)	-0.0935***	-0.0266*
Overeducation and overskilling	-0.2392***	-0.1227***
In-effectiveness of the university title	-0.0365***	-0.0298***

Note: The table reports the coefficient of overeducation variables, with a distinction between those who are only overeducated, only overskilled or both overeducated and overskilled. The dependent variable is the natural logarithm of the net monthly wage. The OLS conditional estimates obtained with all the control variables included in Table 5. The definition of the in-effectiveness of the university title is given in the methodology section.

Legend: \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001.

Source: own elaboration on AlmaLaurea data.

Tuble 7. Earlings equations with correction for sample select						
Main equation						
Dependentvariable	Log of net r	nonthly wage				
Overeducation (to get)	-0.3513***					
Overeducation (to do)		-0.7357***				
Woman	-0.8815***	-0.7976***				
66-90 out of 110	-0.6495***	-0.6191***				
91-100 out of 110	0.0511***	0.1884***				
Agriculture	0.0211	-0.0096				
Economics and Statistics	-1.4102***	-1.3072***				
Education	-0.3226***	-0.2669***				
Arts	-0.7288***	-0.4539***				
Languages	-0.3904***	-0.4302***				
Political and social sciences	-0.0944***	0.0401**				
Mathematics and Physics	-0.2	-0.166				
IV extra-curricular year late	-0.8457***	-0.6778***				
V extra-curricular year late	-0.1622***	-0.0920***				
Training, apprenticeship aimed at gaining access to a liberal profession	-0.1995***	-0.2433***				
Stage / Work grant / Training on-the-job	-0.9494***	-0.7358***				
Constant	8.2009***	7.9823***				

Table 7. Earnings equations with correction for sample selection

Constant	0.2007	1.9025
Selectionequatio	n	
Dependent variable:	Labour mark	et
Woman	4.1238***	3.8294***
66-90 out of 110	0.174	0.2093
91-100 out of 110	8.0756***	7.7758***
Agriculture	-4.7748***	-4.6214***
<b>Economics and Statistics</b>	-4.1127***	-3.9919***
Education	-6.5625***	-6.0043***
Arts	-5.0092***	-4.9830***
Languages	-7.9005***	-7.3861***
Political and social sciences	-8.3520***	-7.6120***
Mathematics and Physics	-2.5102***	-2.6441***
IV extra-curricular year late	0.2579	0.3722
V extra-curricular year late	-2.2605***	-1.7813***
Training, apprenticeship aimed at gaining access to a liberal profession	-8.6088***	-8.0429***
Stage / Work grant / Training on-the-job	-4.5620***	-4.2088***
Married, livestogether * woman	6.0161***	5.9789***
Children	-10.5108***	-10.3030***
County level unemployment rate (NUTS3)	-0.1921	-0.1609
Constant	7.4228***	6.7600***
Arthrho	0.9819***	1.1311***
Lnsigma	-0.9857***	-1.0087***
Statistics		
N	20171	20171
Ll	-7.90E+03	-7.40E+03
Aic	1.60E+04	1.50E+04

*Note: Pure pre-reform graduates in 2005, observed 5 years after graduation. ML simultaneous estimate.* \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001. *Source: own elaboration on AlmaLaurea data.* 

# **Appendix of Figures**





Source: Own elaboration on AlmaLaurea data.



# Figure 2. Overskilling by type of degree

Source: Own elaboration on AlmaLaurea data.

### Annex

I ADIE A.I. DESCI IDLIVE STATIS	escriptive statistics	. D	A.1.	able	Т
---------------------------------	-----------------------	-----	------	------	---

Variable	Nobs	Mean	St. dev.	Min	Max
Overeducation 1 year after the degree (to get)	13500	0.1316	0.3380	0	]
Overeducation 1 year after the degree (to do)	13500	0.1647	0.3709	0	
Overeducation 3 year after the degree (to get)	17223	0.0937	0.2914	0	1
Overeducation 3 year after the degree (to do)	17223	0.1249	0.3307	0	
Overeducation 5 year after the degree (to get)	17387	0.0799	0.2711	0	
Overeducation 5 year after the degree (to do)	17387	0.1144	0.3183	0	
Effectiveness of the degree in the labour market	16960	2.1273	1.1801	1	4
Earnings, 5 years after the degree	16591	7.0618	0.4997	5.2983	8.0864
Earnings, 3 years after the degree	16462	6.9588	0.4903	5.2983	8.086
Earnings, 1 year after the degree	12892	6.8026	0.5341	5.2983	8.0864
Overall job satisfaction	17382	7.5698	1.6933	1	10
Overeducation 5 years (to get), based on Chevalier (2003)	17387	0.1206	0.4330	0	
Overeducation 5 years (to do), based on Chevalier (2003)	17387	0.1787	0.5248	0	
Woman	28976	0.6163	0.4863	0	
Married	25196	0.1066	0.3086	0	
Lives together	25196	0.0182	0.1337	0	
Separated, divorced, widow	25196	0.0056	0.0746	0	
Children	25196	0.0586	0.2348	0	
Foreigners	28976	0.0357	0.1856	0	
Months from the degree before finding a job	17355	10.8186	13.3111	0	6
Final grade at High Secondary school (out of 100)	28032	81.4413	12.3064	60	10
Erasmus experience	28976	0.0658	0.2479	0	
Other Study experiences abroad	28976	0.0394	0.1947	0	
Missing	28976	0.1933	0.3949	0	
I extra-curricular year late	28976	0.2068	0.4050	0	
II extra-curricular year late	28976	0.1908	0.3929	0	
III extra-curricular year late	28976	0.1483	0.3554	0	
IV extra-curricular year late	28976	0.1025	0.3033	0	
V extra-curricular year late	28976	0.2755	0.4468	0	
66-90 out of 110	28976	0.0732	0.2605	0	
91-100 out of 110	28976	0.2678	0.4428	0	
101-105 out of 110	28976	0.2135	0.4098	0	
106-110 out of 110	28976	0.2292	0.4203	0	
Athenaeum in the North East	28976	0.2674	0.4426	0	
Athenaeum in the Centre	28976	0.3303	0.4703	0	
Athenaeum in the South and Islands	28976	0.2833	0.4506	0	

(bU13)         1287         0.997         0.215         0           Moved to inc Contr         1287         0.901         0.1733         0         1           Moved to inc Contr         12387         0.0054         0.0733         0         1           Moved to inc South or to the Islands         17387         0.0011         0.0169         0         1           No tile         23191         0.0164         0.2371         0         1           No tile         23191         0.0149         0.0373         0         1           Ingene part any antivenity degree         23191         0.1168         0.4930         0         1           Classical high school         23876         0.0284         0.2133         0         1           Language high school         23876         0.0210         0.4988         0         1           Classical high school         23876         0.0212         0.1506         0         1           Classical high school         23876         0.0212         0.4988         0         1           Classical high school         23976         0.0121         0.11         0.011         0.11           Classchigh school         23976         0.0	(S)he works in another region (Nuts2) of the same area of residence	17387	0.0548	0.2275	0	1
Moved to the Centre         17387         0.0565         0.2399         0         1           Moved to the Solutor to the Islands         17387         0.0131         0.1715         0         0           Moved to the Solutor to the Islands         17387         0.0131         0.1715         0         0         0           Moved to the Solutor         23191         0.0146         0.3715         0         0         1           Primary stool         23191         0.0148         0.4375         0         0         1           Inglis accondary obtachion         23191         0.1164         0.3751         0         1           Chasical high school         23876         0.0252         0.4161         0         1           Specialization in teacker training         23876         0.0127         0.2333         0         1           Percleasional abod         23876         0.0121         0.2323         0         1           Detorial studies         21065         0.8287         0.0131         0.118         0         1           Detorial studies         23876         0.0141         0.118         0         1           Detorial studies         238976         0.0235         0.21	(NUTS1) Marcad to the North	17207	0.0027	0.2015	0	1
Moved in the South or to the Islands         17387         0.0051         0.7733         0         1           No tile         23191         0.0049         0.896         0         1           No tile         23191         0.0049         0.896         0         1           Law scondary cheation         23191         0.1042         0.113         0         1           Law scondary cheation         23191         0.1044         0.571         0         1           Law scondary cheation         23191         0.1044         0.571         0         1           Classical high scondary cheation         28976         0.0587         0.2233         0         1           Language high school         28976         0.0517         0.2230         0         1           Technical school         28976         0.0170         0.2120         0.4048         0         1           Training appretince/hy aimed at gaining access to a liberal profesion         21665         0.4118         0         1           Toking apprecision         21665         0.4125         0         1         1           Specialisation school         21665         0.413         0         1         1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
Morei abroad         17387         0.0311         0.1735         0         1           Primary school         23191         0.0802         0.2716         0         1           Low secondary education         23191         0.1405         0.4119         0         1           Law secondary education         22191         0.1405         0.4139         0         1           Specialization in teacher training         22976         0.2523         0.4161         0         1           Specialization in teacher training         28976         0.0587         0.2233         0         1           Tangange hys shol         28976         0.1677         0.1230         0         1           Art school         28976         0.0167         0.1230         0         1           Tangange hys shol         28976         0.0181         0         1           Tangange hys shol         28976         0.0181         0         1           Detord studies         21665         0.0480         0.2714         0         1           Education school         21665         0.0481         0.2714         0         1           Education school         21665         0.0413         0.1999<					*	
No the         23191         0.0499         0.0496         0         1           Iow scondary ducation         23191         0.2195         0.4139         0         1           Iow scondary ducation         23191         0.1682         0.4139         0         1           One parent got a invincity ducator         23191         0.1682         0.3751         0         1           I appage high school         23976         0.0689         0.2373         0         1           I appage high school         28976         0.0167         0.1220         0         1           I appage high school         28976         0.0167         0.1280         0         1           Technick school         28976         0.0121         0.4088         0         1           Taring: apprinticibility intraining apprinticibility intrapprinticibility intrapprint apprinticibility intrain						
Primary school         23191         0.0802         0.2716         0         1           High scondary cluation         23191         0.1184         0.4730         0         1           High scondary cluation         23191         0.1164         0.7571         0         1           Classical high school         23976         0.0232         0.1643         0         1           Art school         22976         0.0167         0.1230         0         1           Art school         22976         0.0232         0.1506         0         1           Other high school         22976         0.0232         0.1506         0         1           Other high school         22976         0.0232         0.1506         0         1           Other high school         22076         0.0232         0.1506         0         1           Dractari static         22083         0.0431         0.1330         0         1           Specific Agree         21665         0.0193         0.3727         0         1           Dractari static         21665         0.1019         0.3725         0         1           Drace Vick grand Tranining on the-job         21665 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
Low scondary education         23191         0.2195         0.4139         0         1           High scondary education         23191         0.1648         0.4390         0         1           One parent got a university degree         23191         0.1648         0.4390         0         1           Specialization in teacher training         23976         0.0689         0.2333         0         1           Langage high school         23976         0.0687         0.2232         0.6468         0         1           Partessonal school         22976         0.0141         0.4488         0         1           Partessonal school         22976         0.0141         0.1181         0         1           Detoral studies         21665         0.0420         0.0300         0         1           Detoral studies         21665         0.0420         0.0300         0         1           Ind level Master degree         21665         0.0481         0.2714         0         0           Ind level Master degree         21665         0.0491         0.1990         0         1           Nucle degree         21665         0.0413         0.1990         0         1						
High scondary cheation         23191         0.4168         0.4930         0         1           Classical high school         23976         0.0547         0.2228         0.4161         0           Language high school         23976         0.0547         0.2233         0         1           Language high school         23976         0.0547         0.2233         0         1           Language high school         23976         0.0547         0.2237         0         1           At school         23976         0.0527         0.1566         0         1           Professional school         22076         0.0122         0.1566         0         1           Other high school         21665         0.0229         0.411         0.1181         0         1           Taining apprenticeship aimed at garining access to a liberal profession         21665         0.0213         0.411         0.118         0         1           Dockerof studies         21665         0.0214         0         1         0.0225         0         1           Dockerof studies         21665         0.0113         0.013         0         1         0.0141         0.0141         0         1						
Oring parent gofa university degree         23191         0.1694         0.3751         0         1           Specialisation in teacher training         28976         0.0689         0.2238         0         1           Specialisation in teacher training         28976         0.0647         0.2238         0         1           Language high school         28976         0.0147         0.1280         0         1           Art school         28976         0.0147         0.1280         0         1           Training, apprenticularity in teacher training         28976         0.0141         0.1181         0         1           Dectoral studies         21605         0.0430         0.2378         0         1           Dectoral studies         21605         0.0430         0.2376         0         1           Ind level Master degree         21605         0.0431         0.3275         0         1           Product straining scheme         21605         0.0377         0         1         1           Vork and study         23742         0.0737         0.254         0         1           Vork and study         23742         0.0737         0.254         0         1						
Classical high school       28976       0.2228       0.4161       0       1         Languag high school       28976       0.0547       0.2273       0       1         At school       28976       0.0167       0.1280       0       1         Technical school       28976       0.0167       0.1280       0       1         Technical school       28976       0.0121       0.4088       0       1         Training, apprenticoship num at gaining access to a liberal profession       21605       0.0430       0.2300       0       1         Doctoral studies       21605       0.0430       0.2302       0       1       1         Ind level Master degree       21605       0.0631       0.3922       0       1       1         Uher ty of Master degree       21605       0.1234       0.3299       0       1         Stady scholan-schip       21605       0.1246       0.372       0       1         Now kare degree       21605       0.1246       0.3972       0       1         Stady scholan-schip       21605       0.1246       0.3972       0       1         Now kare degree       21605       0.1234       0.3972       0						
Specialisation         128976         0.0687         0.2533         0         1           Art school         28976         0.0647         0.1280         0         1           Art school         28976         0.0147         0.1280         0         1           Other high school         28976         0.0233         0.1506         0         1           Other high school         28976         0.0233         0.1506         0         1           Other high school alpona         28976         0.0233         0.1506         0         1           Specialization school         21605         0.0430         0.2378         0         1           Specialization school         21605         0.1630         0.3275         0         1           Other type of Master degree         21605         0.1030         0.3292         0         1           Volk and stdy         23742         0.0250         0.133         0.3902         0         1           Volk and stdy         23742         0.0250         0.131         0.1900         0.3225         0         1           Volk and stdy         23742         0.0251         0.141         0.1900         0.113         0						
Larguage high school         28976         0.0427         0.2233         0         1           Art school         28976         0.2120         0.4088         0         1           Drofessional school         28976         0.2120         0.4088         0         1           Drofessional school         28976         0.2120         0.4088         0         1           Drofessional school         28976         0.0212         0.4088         0         1           Drofessional school         28976         0.0214         0.1181         0         1           Dectoral studies         21605         0.0232         0.2756         0         1           Detter schere degree         21605         0.0236         0.2756         0         1           Study schoanschip         21605         0.0237         0.1855         0         1           Voltary civi scrive         21605         0.0137         0.1855         0         1           Voltary civi scrive         21605         0.0137         0.1854         0         1           Voltary civi scrive         21605         0.0137         0.1854         0         1           Voltary civi scrive         21742						
Art schol         28976         0.0167         0.1280         0         1           Perfessional school         28976         0.02120         0.4088         0         1           Perfessional school         28976         0.02120         0.4088         0         1           Ductoral studies         28976         0.02120         0.4088         0         1           Ductoral studies         21605         0.0235         0.4518         0         1           Specialisation school         21605         0.0430         0.2030         0         1           Ind level Master degree         21605         0.0430         0.0255         0         1           Other type of Master degree         21605         0.1024         0.0256         0         1           Stady scholarschip         21605         0.1034         0.0259         0         1           Varian vervinve         21605         0.1341         0.2264         0         1           Varian vervinve         22742         0.2253         0.4178         0         1           Vark experience         23742         0.2254         0         1         1           Vark experience         17387         0.04						
Technical school         28976         0.1210         0.4088         0         1           Professional school         28976         0.0141         0.1181         0         1           Training, apprenticably aimed at gaining access to a liberal profession         21605         0.0430         0.04518         0         1           Dectoral studies         21605         0.0430         0.03278         0         1           Dectoral studies         21605         0.0801         0.2756         0         1           Ind level Master degree         21605         0.0801         0.2756         0         1           Stage / Work great         21605         0.0137         0.1857         0         1           Work and study         22742         0.0707         0.2564         0         1           Work experience         23742         0.0707         0.2564         0         1           Work experience is missing         23742         0.0707         0.2564         0         1           Work experience is missing         17387         0.0382         0.1918         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0392         0.2899         0         1           <						
Professional school         28976         0.0232         0.150         0         1           Training, apprenticeship aimed at gaining access to a liberal profession         21605         0.2859         0.4518         0         1           Specialisation school         21605         0.2859         0.2010         0         1           Specialisation school         21605         0.0828         0.2714         0         1           It level master degree         21605         0.0828         0.2735         0         1           Other type of Master degree         21605         0.1939         0.3025         0         1           Other type of Master degree         21605         0.1234         0.3990         0         1           Voltanty civic service         21605         0.1234         0.3990         0         1           Voltanty civic service         21605         0.0137         0.183         0         1           Voltanty civic service         21605         0.01413         0         1           Voltanty civic services         23742         0.02253         0.1918         0         1           Voltanty civic services         17387         0.0048         0.1913         0         1     <						
Other high school diploma         28976         0.0141         0.1181         0         1           Dectarial studies         21605         0.0430         0.2019         0         1           Dectarial studies         21605         0.0430         0.2178         0         1           Is level master degree         21605         0.0801         0.2776         0         1           Ind level Master degree         21605         0.0801         0.2776         0         1           Stage / Work grant / Training on-the-job         21605         0.1232         0.3972         0         1           Public off-the-job training scheme         21605         0.0137         0.1855         0         1           Volutary civil service         21605         0.0413         0.1990         0         1           Volutary civil service         21605         0.0413         0.1903         0         1           Vork experience         23742         0.2253         0.1118         0         1           Vork experience is missing         23742         0.2137         0.0391         0.1913         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0698         0.0824         0         1						
Training, apprenticeship aimed at gaining access to a liberal profession         21605         0.2899         0.4518         0         1           Dectoral studies         21605         0.1225         0.3278         0         1           Ist level master degree         21605         0.0828         0.2714         0         1           Other type of Master degree         21605         0.0828         0.2766         0         1           Other type of Master degree         21605         0.1019         0.3025         0         1           Other type of Master degree         21605         0.1324         0.3220         0         1           Voluntary civit service         21605         0.0137         0.1890         0         1           Voluntary civit service         21605         0.0437         0.1990         0         1           Voluntary civit service         23742         0.0104         0.1013         0         1           Vork seprience         17387         0.0382         0.1999         0         1           Chemical industry and energy         17387         0.0403         0.2381         0         1           Other manufacturing industry         17387         0.0403         0.2381         <					*	
Dectard studies         21605         0.0430         0.2378         0         1           Specialization school         21605         0.0801         0.2776         0         1           Int level master degree         21605         0.0801         0.2776         0         1           Other type of Master degree         21605         0.1093         0.3972         0         1           Stage / Vork grant / Training on-the-job         21605         0.1234         0.32972         0         1           Study scholarschip         21605         0.1343         0.3972         0         1           Volk and study         22142         0.2234         0.3297         0         1           Volk and study         22142         0.2234         0.3297         0         1           Vork and study         22142         0.2233         0.4118         0         1           Ne work experience         22142         0.2233         0.4118         0         1           Ne work experience is missing         22147         0.0133         0.1918         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0408         0.1918         0         1           Contraniosity and energy<						
Specialisation school         21605         0.1225         0.3278         0         1           Ind level Master degree         21605         0.0828         0.2714         0         1           Ind level Master degree         21605         0.0828         0.2755         0         1           Stage / Work grant / Training on-the-job         21605         0.1963         0.3972         0         1           Study scholarschip         21605         0.1214         0.3255         0         1           Voluntary civil service         21605         0.0137         0.1855         0         1           Work spreince         23742         0.0235         0.6117         0         0         1           Work spreince         23742         0.2237         0.014         0.1013         0         1           Outone spreince         23742         0.0237         0.118         0         1           Outone spreince         17387         0.0382         0.1918         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0373         0.1894         0         1           Trade and prair         17387         0.0499         0.2550         0         1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td></td<>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
init optimum         21605         0.0801         0.2714         0         1           Ind lavel Master degree         21605         0.0821         0.2756         0         1           Other type of Master degree         21605         0.1019         0.3025         0         1           Stage / Work grant / Training on-the-job         21605         0.1234         0.3290         0         1           Voluntary civil service         21605         0.0323         0.1185         0         1           Voluntary civil service         21605         0.0337         0.1855         0         1           Vork experience         23742         0.02243         0.4118         0         1           Agricolture         17387         0.0382         0.2118         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0391         0.1939         0         1           Other manufacturing industry and energy         17387         0.0391         0.1939         0         1           Other sarvices for firms         17387         0.0498         0.1979         0         1           Other sarvices for firms         17387         0.0498         0.2381         0         1           <						
Ind level Master degree       21605       0.0828       0.2756       0       1         Other type of Master degree       21605       0.1963       0.3972       0       1         Stage / Work grant / Taming on-the-job       21605       0.1963       0.3972       0       1         Study scholarschip       21605       0.01234       0.3290       0       1         Yohnary civil service       21605       0.0413       0.1990       0       1         Work sepretnee       23742       0.0233       0.4178       0       1         Work sepretnee is missing       23742       0.0234       0       1       1         Matta degineering industry       17387       0.0088       0.0824       0       1         Construction       17387       0.0048       0.1939       0       1         Trade ontaine, advertising and telecommunications       17387       0.0468       0.2841       0       1         Several consulting services       17387       0.0638       0.2486       0       1         Informatics       17387       0.0648       0.2486       0       1         Several consulting services       17387       0.0648       0.2486       0						
Other type of Master degree         21605         0.1019         0.025         0         1           Stage / Work grant / Training on-the-job         21605         0.1234         0.3290         0         1           Public off-the-job training scheme         21605         0.0135         0         1           Voluntary civil service         21605         0.0357         0         1           Voluntary civil service         21605         0.0357         0         1           No work experience         23742         0.0104         0.1013         0         1           Agricolure         17387         0.0088         0.0824         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0371         0.1939         0         1           Credit and insurance         17387         0.0408         0.1979         0         1           Credit and insurance         17387         0.0408         0.1837         0         1           Several consulting services         17387         0.0488         0.2181         0         1           Informatics         17387         0.0485         0.2148         0         1           Other services for firms         17387         0.0281	Ind level Master degree					
Stage // Work grant / Training on-the-job         21605         0.1963         0.3972         0         1           Study scholarschip         21605         0.0137         0.1855         0         1           Study scholarschip         21605         0.0137         0.1855         0         1           Work and study         23742         0.2235         0.4178         0         1           Work experience         23742         0.02253         0.4178         0         1           Mork experience is missing         23742         0.0235         0.4178         0         1           Meral and engineering industry         17387         0.0082         0.0182         0         1           Chemical industry and energy         17387         0.0373         0.1894         0         1           Trake and repair         17387         0.0608         0.1894         0         1           Transportation, advertising and telecommunications         17387         0.0603         0.2351         0         1           Transportation, advertising and telecommunications         17387         0.0468         0.1550         0         1           Informatics         17387         0.0435         0.2355         0						
Public ofr-the-job training scheme         21605         0.1234         0.2320         0         1           Voluntary civil service         21605         0.0413         0         1           Work and study         23742         0.0707         0.2564         0         1           No work experience         23742         0.0207         0.2564         0         1           No work experience is missing         23742         0.0104         0.1013         0         1           Agricohure         17387         0.06824         0         1         1         0         1<						
Study scholarschip       21605       0.0137       0.1855       0       1         Volntarty civil service       21605       0.0113       0.1900       0       1         Work and study       23742       0.0253       0.4178       0       1         No work experience is missing       23742       0.0253       0.4178       0       1         Agricolture       17387       0.0082       0.1918       0       1         Chemical industry and energy       17387       0.0382       0.1918       0       1         Chemical industry and energy       17387       0.0373       0.1894       0       1         Credit and insurance       17387       0.0408       0.1979       0       1         Credit and insurance       17387       0.0609       0.2350       0       1         Credit and insurance       17387       0.0699       0.2355       0       1         Informatics       17387       0.0485       0.2148       0       1         Other services for firms       17387       0.0425       0       1         Public administration and army       17387       0.0628       0.2355       0       1         Current job is the						
Voltmary civil service         21605         0.0413         0.1990         0         1           No work experience         23742         0.0707         0.2564         0         1           No work experience         23742         0.0107         0.2564         0         1           Mork experience is missing         23742         0.0104         0.1013         0         1           Agricohure         17387         0.0682         0.1918         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0391         0.1939         0         1           Chemical industry and energy         17387         0.0408         0.1979         0         1           Trade and repair         17387         0.0408         0.1979         0         1           Trade and repair         17387         0.0408         0.1979         0         1           Transportation, advertising and telecommunications         17387         0.0603         0.2381         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0648         0.2184         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0648         0.2426         0         1						
Work and study         23742         0.0707         0.2564         0         1           No work experience         23742         0.2233         0.4178         0         1           Mork experience is missing         23742         0.0233         0.4178         0         1           Agricolture         17387         0.0088         0.00834         0         1           Chemical industry and energy         17387         0.0382         0.1918         0         1           Chemical industry and energy         17387         0.0498         0.0133         0.1894         0         1           Trade and repair         17387         0.0403         0.2889         0         1           Credit and insurance         17387         0.0603         0.2381         0         1           Several consulting services         17387         0.0464         0.1550         0         1           Informatics         17387         0.0426         0.1550         0         1           Other services         17387         0.0426         0         1           Other services         17387         0.0426         0         1           Curent job is different from that before university <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
No work experience         23742         0.223         0.4178         0         1           Agricolture         17387         0.0048         0.0824         0         1           Metal and engineering industry         17387         0.0382         0.01918         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0391         0.1939         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0498         0.1918         0         1           Other manufacturing industry         17387         0.0408         0.1979         0         1           Transportation, advertising and telecommunications         17387         0.0408         0.1877         0.3873         0         1           Several consulting services         17387         0.0485         0.2148         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0485         0.2148         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0481         0.2735         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0628         0.2426         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0246         0.2426						
Work experience is missing         23742         0.0104         0.1013         0         1           Metal and engineering industry         17387         0.0068         0.0834         0         1           Construction         17387         0.0352         0.1918         0         1           Chemical industry and energy         17387         0.0373         0.1894         0         1           Other manufacturing industry         17387         0.0408         0.0979         0         1           Trade and repair         17387         0.0403         0.2381         0         1           Credit and insurance         17387         0.0603         0.2381         0         1           Several consulting services         17387         0.0464         0.1550         0         1           Informatics         17387         0.0426         0.2148         0         1           Other services for firms         17387         0.0428         0.2426         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0248         0.2355         0         1           Current job is the same as that before university         17387         0.0246         0.2426         0         1					0	1
Agricolture       17387       0.0068       0.0824       0       1         Metal and engineering industry       17387       0.0332       0.1918       0       1         Construction       17387       0.0373       0.1894       0       1         Chemical industry and energy       17387       0.0408       0.1979       0       1         Trade and repair       17387       0.0408       0.1979       0       1         Credit and insurace       17387       0.0699       0.2580       0       1         Transportation, advertising and telecommunications       17387       0.0699       0.2381       0       1         Informatics       17387       0.0485       0.2148       0       1         Other services for firms       17387       0.0485       0.2148       0       1         Public administration and army       17387       0.485       0.2148       0       1         Current job is the same as that before university       17387       0.482       0       1         Current job is different from that before university       17387       0.2424       0.4285       0       1         Current job is different from that before university       17387       0.2424 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Metal and engineering industry       17387       0.0382       0.1918       0       1         Construction       17387       0.0373       0.1894       0       1         Other manufacturing industry       17387       0.0473       0.1894       0       1         Trade and repair       17387       0.0492       0.2889       0       1         Credit and insurance       17387       0.0603       0.2381       0       1         Transportation, advertising and telecommunications       17387       0.0603       0.2381       0       1         Informatics       17387       0.0483       0.2148       0       1         Other services for firms       17387       0.0428       0.2148       0       1         Health       17387       0.0428       0.2426       0       1         Utrent job is the same as that before university       17387       0.0428       0.2426       0       1         Public administration and army       17387       0.0428       0.2426       0       1         Public sector job       17387       0.1208       0.3259       0       1         Current job is different from that before university       17387       0.2426       0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Construction         17387         0.0391         0.1939         0         1           Other manufacturing industry         17387         0.0498         0.1979         0         1           Other manufacturing industry         17387         0.0498         0.1979         0         1           Credit and insurance         17387         0.0699         0.2550         0         1           Transportation, advertising and telecommunications         17387         0.0699         0.2351         0         1           Several consulting services         17387         0.0485         0.2148         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0590         0.2355         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0618         0.2426         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0590         0.3259         0         1           Current job is the same as that before university         17387         0.184         0.2755         0         1           Current job is different from that before university         17387         0.1827         0.3001         0         1           Answering an divertisement         28976						
Chemical industry and energy       17387       0.0373       0.1894       0       1         Other manufacturing industry       17387       0.0408       0.1979       0       1         Trade and repair       17387       0.0699       0.2580       0       1         Transportation, advertising and telecommunications       17387       0.0603       0.2381       0       1         Several consulting services       17387       0.0387       0.3873       0       1         Informatics       17387       0.0246       0.1550       0       1         Other services for firms       17387       0.0485       0.2148       0       1         Other services       17387       0.0628       0.2255       0       1         Current job is different from that before university       17387       0.0184       0.2355       0       1         Public administration and army       17387       0.1208       0.3242       0.4245       0       1         Urrent job is different from that before university       17387       0.1208       0.3259       0       1         Public administration and army       17387       0.2026       0.4042       0       1         Public administry						
Other manufacturing industry         17387         0.0408         0.1979         0         1           Trade and repair         17387         0.0092         0.2989         0         1           Credit and insurance         17387         0.0699         0.2550         0         1           Transportation, advertising and telecommunications         17387         0.0603         0.2381         0         1           Several consulting services         17387         0.1837         0.3873         0         1           Other services for firms         17387         0.0485         0.2148         0         1           Other services         17387         0.0628         0.2426         0         1           Other services         17387         0.0814         0.2735         0         1           Current job is the same as that before university         17387         0.1827         0.3001         0         1           Part-time work         17387         0.2424         0.2426         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.0647         0.2274         0         1           Continuation of stage / other trainceship         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0<						
Trade and repair       17387       0.0992       0.2989       0       1         Transportation, advertising and telecommunications       17387       0.0603       0.2381       0       1         Several consulting services       17387       0.0837       0.3873       0       1         Informatics       17387       0.0463       0.1550       0       1         Other services for firms       17387       0.0485       0.2148       0       1         Public administration and army       17387       0.0485       0.2148       0       1         Health       17387       0.0698       0.2426       0       1         Other services       17387       0.0614       0.2735       0       1         Current job is different from that before university       17387       0.2424       0.4285       0       1         Part-time work       17387       0.2424       0.4285       0       1       1         Public complexitisment       28976       0.0564       0.2797       0       1         Continuation of stage / other trainceship       28976       0.0593       0.2362       0       1         Asserting and dvertisement       28976       0.0561 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
Credit and insurance       17387       0.0699       0.2550       0       1         Transportation, advertising and telecommunications       17387       0.0603       0.2381       0       1         Several consulting services       17387       0.0463       0.2381       0       1         Informatics       17387       0.0463       0.2148       0       1         Other services for firms       17387       0.0485       0.2148       0       1         Public administration and army       17387       0.0628       0.2426       0       1         Health       17387       0.0628       0.2426       0       1         Other services       17387       0.1208       0.3259       0       1         Current job is the same as that before university       17387       0.128       0.4242       0       1         Public sector job       17387       0.2876       0.0644       0.2401       0       1         Public sector job       28976       0.0547       0.277       0       1         Public competition       28976       0.0547       0.274       0       1         Application to teach at school       28976       0.0593       0.2362					0	
Transportation, advertising and telecommunications       17387       0.0603       0.2381       0       1         Several consulting services       17387       0.1837       0.3873       0       1         Informatics       17387       0.0445       0.11837       0.01877       0.1208       0.3259       0       1         Current job is different from that before university       17387       0.1877       0.3201       0       1         Public sector job       17387       0.1872       0.3201       0       1       1         Network of family and friends       28976       0.0614       0.2401       0       1       1       2       1       2       1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td></td<>					0	
Several consulting services         17387         0.1837         0.3873         0         1           Informatics         17387         0.0246         0.1550         0         1           Other services for firms         17387         0.0246         0.1550         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0590         0.2355         0         1           Other services         17387         0.0814         0.2735         0         1           Current job is different from that before university         17387         0.1208         0.3259         0         1           Part-time work         17387         0.1208         0.3259         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.0856         0.2797         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.0644         0.2401         0         1           Public sector job         28976         0.0537         0.2052         0.1691         0         1           Public sector job         28976         0.0593         0.2352         0         1           Public sector job         28976         0.0593         0.2362         0         1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.0603</td> <td>0.2381</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td>			0.0603	0.2381	0	1
Informatics         17387         0.0246         0.1550         0         1           Other services for firms         17387         0.0485         0.2148         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0590         0.2355         0         1           Health         17387         0.0628         0.2426         0         1           Current job is the same as that before university         17387         0.1208         0.3259         0         1           Current job is different from that before university         17387         0.182         0.3901         0         1           Public sector job         17387         0.182         0.3901         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.0856         0.2797         0         1           Continuation of stage / other traineeship         28976         0.0644         0.2401         0         1           Application to teach at school         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0         1           Direct call by the employer         28976         0.0295         0.1691         0         1           Request of recommendation by the employer         28976         0.0264         <					0	
Other services for firms         17387         0.0485         0.2148         0         1           Public administration and army         17387         0.0590         0.2355         0         1           Other services         17387         0.0628         0.2426         0         1           Other services         17387         0.0814         0.2735         0         1           Current job is different from that before university         17387         0.2424         0.4285         0         1           Part-time work         17387         0.2424         0.4285         0         1           Public sector job         17387         0.2424         0.4285         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.0856         0.2797         0         1           Continuation of stage / other traineeship         28976         0.0641         0.2401         0         1           Public sector job         28976         0.0537         0.2352         0         1           Public sector job sector traineeship         28976         0.0537         0.2274         0         1           Public sector job         28976         0.0264         0.1604         0         1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.0246</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td>			0.0246		0	1
Public administration and army       17387       0.0590       0.2355       0       1         Health       17387       0.0628       0.2426       0       1         Other services       17387       0.0614       0.2735       0       1         Current job is the same as that before university       17387       0.1208       0.3259       0       1         Current job is the same as that before university       17387       0.1208       0.3259       0       1         Public sector job       17387       0.1872       0.3901       0       1         Public sector job       17387       0.1872       0.3901       0       1         Answering an advertisement       28976       0.0614       0.2401       0       1         Public competition       28976       0.0547       0.2274       0       1         Direct call by the employer       28976       0.0295       0.1691       0       1         Direct call by the employer       28976       0.0264       0.1604       0       1         Request of fecommendation by the employer       28976       0.0160       0.1257       0       1         Job search channel       1928976       0.0008       0.0282<	Other services for firms				0	
Health       17387       0.0628       0.2426       0       1         Other services       17387       0.0814       0.2735       0       1         Current job is the same as that before university       17387       0.1208       0.3259       0       1         Current job is different from that before university       17387       0.1208       0.3259       0       1         Part-time work       17387       0.2057       0.4042       0       1         Public sector job       17387       0.2057       0.4042       0       1         Network of family and friends       28976       0.0614       0.2401       0       1         Continuation of stage / other traineeship       28976       0.0547       0.2274       0       1         Answering an advertisement       28976       0.0593       0.2362       0       1         Public competition       28976       0.0295       0.1691       0       1         Request of recommendation by the employer       28976       0.0100       0.1365       0       1         Other job search channel       28976       0.0024       0.0487       0       1         Job search channel       28976       0.0020 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>1</td></td<>					0	1
Current job is the same as that before university         17387         0.1208         0.3259         0         1           Current job is different from that before university         17387         0.2424         0.4285         0         1           Part-time work         17387         0.1872         0.3901         0         1           Public sector job         17387         0.2057         0.4042         0         1           Continuation of stage / other traineeship         28976         0.0614         0.2421         0         1           Answering an advertisement         28976         0.0644         0.2401         0         1           Public competition         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0         1           Direct call by the employer         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0         1           Direct call by the employer         28976         0.0240         0.1865         0         1           Current orecommendation by the employer         28976         0.0190         0.1365         0         1           Other job search channel         28976         0.0008         0.0282         0         1           Starter contract / fraining and work contract / Apprenticeship         173		17387	0.0628	0.2426	0	1
Current job is different from that before university         17387         0.2424         0.4285         0         1           Part-time work         17387         0.1872         0.3901         0         1           Public sector job         17387         0.2057         0.4042         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.0614         0.2401         0         1           Answering an advertisement         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0         1           Public competition         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0         1           Application to teach at school         28976         0.0295         0.1691         0         1           Direct call by the employer         28976         0.0264         0.1604         0         1           Request of recommendation by the employer         28976         0.0160         0.1257         0         1           Other job search channel         28976         0.0024         0.0487         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.00028         0         1           Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship         17387         0.1502         0.3572	Other services	17387	0.0814	0.2735	0	1
Current job is different from that before university         17387         0.2424         0.4285         0         1           Part-time work         17387         0.1872         0.3901         0         1           Public sector job         17387         0.2057         0.4042         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.0614         0.2401         0         1           Answering an advertisement         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0         1           Public competition         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0         1           Application to teach at school         28976         0.0295         0.1691         0         1           Direct call by the employer         28976         0.0264         0.1604         0         1           Request of recommendation by the employer         28976         0.0160         0.1257         0         1           Other job search channel         28976         0.0024         0.0487         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.00028         0         1           Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship         17387         0.1502         0.3572	Current job is the same as that before university	17387	0.1208	0.3259	0	1
Public sector job       17387       0.2057       0.4042       0       1         Network of family and friends       28976       0.0856       0.2797       0       1         Continuation of stage / other traineeship       28976       0.0614       0.2401       0       1         Answering an advertisement       28976       0.0547       0.2274       0       1         Public competition       28976       0.0593       0.2362       0       1         Application to teach at school       28976       0.0255       0.1691       0       1         Direct call by the employer       28976       0.0264       0.1604       0       1         Request of recommendation by the employer       28976       0.0160       0.1257       0       1         Job search channel       28976       0.0024       0.0487       0       1         Job search channel is missing       28976       0.0008       0.0282       0       1         Self-employed       17387       0.1502       0.3572       0       1         Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship       17387       0.1070       0.3091       0       1         Temepoary contract       17387       0		17387	0.2424	0.4285	0	1
Network of family and friends         28976         0.0856         0.2797         0         1           Continuation of stage / other trainceship         28976         0.0614         0.2401         0         1           Answering an advertisement         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0         1           Public competition         28976         0.0593         0.2362         0         1           Application to teach at school         28976         0.0295         0.1691         0         1           Direct call by the employer         28976         0.0264         0.1604         0         1           Request of recommendation by the employer         28976         0.0160         0.1257         0         1           Job search channel         28976         0.0024         0.0487         0         1           Job search channel         28976         0.0008         0.0282         0         1           Self-employed         17387         0.183         0.1342         0         1           Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship         17387         0.1070         0.3091         0         1           Temporary contract         17387         0.1070         0.3091		17387	0.1872	0.3901	0	1
Network of family and friends         28976         0.0856         0.2797         0         1           Continuation of stage / other trainceship         28976         0.0614         0.2401         0         1           Answering an advertisement         28976         0.0547         0.2274         0         1           Public competition         28976         0.0593         0.2362         0         1           Application to teach at school         28976         0.0295         0.1691         0         1           Direct call by the employer         28976         0.0264         0.1604         0         1           Request of recommendation by the employer         28976         0.0160         0.1257         0         1           Job search channel         28976         0.0024         0.0487         0         1           Job search channel         28976         0.0008         0.0282         0         1           Self-employed         17387         0.183         0.1342         0         1           Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship         17387         0.1070         0.3091         0         1           Temporary contract         17387         0.1070         0.3091	Public sector job	17387	0.2057	0.4042	0	1
Answering an advertisement $28976$ $0.0547$ $0.2274$ $0$ $1$ Public competition $28976$ $0.0593$ $0.2362$ $0$ $1$ Application to teach at school $28976$ $0.0295$ $0.1691$ $0$ $1$ Direct call by the employer $28976$ $0.0361$ $0.1865$ $0$ $1$ Temporary employment agency $28976$ $0.0160$ $0.1257$ $0$ $1$ Other job search channel $28976$ $0.0024$ $0.0487$ $0$ $1$ Job search channel $28976$ $0.0024$ $0.0487$ $0$ $1$ Network of family and friends $28976$ $0.0008$ $0.0282$ $0$ $1$ Self-employed $17387$ $0.1502$ $0.3572$ $0$ $1$ Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship $17387$ $0.1502$ $0.3572$ $0$ $1$ Tereporary contract $17387$ $0.0100$ $0.3091$ $0$ $1$ Freelance / Consulting $17387$ $0.0101$ $0.0330$ $0$ $1$ Other atypical work $17387$ $0.0011$ $0.0330$ $0$ $1$ Without contract $28976$ $0.0457$ $0.287$ $0$ $1$ Contract is missing $28976$ $0.0457$ $0.287$ $0$ $1$ Agriculture $28976$ $0.0457$ $0.287$ $0$ $1$ Agriculture $28976$ $0.0457$ $0.287$ $0$ $1$ Chemistry and pharmacy $28976$ $0.0378$ $0.906$ <t< td=""><td>Network of family and friends</td><td>28976</td><td>0.0856</td><td>0.2797</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></t<>	Network of family and friends	28976	0.0856	0.2797	0	1
Public competition         28976         0.0593         0.2362         0         1           Application to teach at school         28976         0.0295         0.1691         0         1           Direct call by the employer         28976         0.0264         0.1604         0         1           Request of recommendation by the employer         28976         0.0264         0.1604         0         1           Other job search channel         28976         0.0160         0.1257         0         1           Job search channel is missing         28976         0.0024         0.0487         0         1           Network of family and friends         28976         0.0028         0         1	Continuation of stage / other traineeship	28976	0.0614	0.2401	0	1
Application to teach at school       28976       0.0295       0.1691       0       1         Direct call by the employer       28976       0.0361       0.1865       0       1         Request of recommendation by the employer       28976       0.0264       0.1604       0       1         Request of recommendation by the employer       28976       0.0160       0.1257       0       1         Job search channel       28976       0.0024       0.0487       0       1         Network of family and friends       28976       0.0008       0.0282       0       1         Self-employed       17387       0.2222       0.4158       0       1         Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship       17387       0.1502       0.3572       0       1         Temporary contract       17387       0.1502       0.3572       0       1         Terelance / Consulting       17387       0.0150       0.1214       0       1         Other atypical work       17387       0.0105       0.1214       0       1         Without contract       17387       0.0011       0.0330       0       1         Contract is missing       28976       0.0155	Answering an advertisement	28976	0.0547	0.2274	0	1
Application to teach at school       28976       0.0295       0.1691       0       1         Direct call by the employer       28976       0.0361       0.1865       0       1         Request of recommendation by the employer       28976       0.0264       0.1604       0       1         Other job search channel       28976       0.0160       0.1257       0       1         Job search channel is missing       28976       0.0008       0.0282       0       1         Network of family and friends       28976       0.0008       0.0282       0       1         Self-employed       17387       0.2222       0.4158       0       1         Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship       17387       0.1502       0.3572       0       1         Temporary contract       17387       0.1502       0.3572       0       1       1         Tretalance / Consulting       17387       0.0150       0.1214       0       1         Other atypical work       17387       0.0105       0.1214       0       1         Without contract       17387       0.0011       0.0330       0       1         Contract is missing       28976       0.0	Public competition		0.0593	0.2362	0	
Direct call by the employer289760.03610.186501Temporary employment agency289760.02640.160401Request of recommendation by the employer289760.01900.136501Other job search channel289760.01600.125701Job search channel289760.00240.048701Network of family and friends289760.00080.028201Self-employed173870.22220.415801Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship173870.1830.134201Temporary contract173870.15020.357201Tretance / Consulting173870.01700.309101Other atypical work173870.02000.140101Without contract173870.02000.140101Contract is missing289760.01950.138401Agriculture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.03780.190601Physical education289760.03780.190601Geology and biology289760.03280.339401Education289760.06980.254801	Application to teach at school		0.0295	0.1691	0	1
Temporary employment agency289760.02640.160401Request of recommendation by the employer289760.01900.136501Other job search channel289760.01600.125701Job search channel is missing289760.00240.048701Network of family and friends289760.00080.028201Self-employed173870.22220.415801Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship173870.15020.357201Temporary contract173870.10700.309101Other atypical work173870.01500.121401Without contract173870.00110.033001Contract is missing173870.00120.138401Agriculture289760.01950.138401Architecture289760.01950.138401Chemistry and pharmacy289760.04570.208701Economics and statistics289760.03650.187501Physical education289760.03780.190601Question289760.03780.190601Law289760.03780.190601Education289760.05980.254801	Direct call by the employer	28976	0.0361	0.1865		1
Other job search channel289760.01600.125701Job search channel is missing289760.00240.048701Network of family and friends289760.00080.028201Self-employed173870.22220.415801Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship173870.134201Temporary contract173870.15020.357201Freelance / Consulting173870.01500.121401Other atypical work173870.00110.033001Without contract173870.00110.033001Contract is missing173870.01950.138401Architecture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.03780.190601Physical education289760.03780.190601Law289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.13280.39401		28976		0.1604	0	1
Job search channel is missing289760.00240.048701Network of family and friends289760.00080.028201Self-employed173870.22220.415801Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship173870.01830.134201Temporary contract173870.15020.357201Freelance / Consulting173870.01500.121401Other atypical work173870.01000.309101Without contract173870.02000.140101Contract is missing173870.00110.033001Agriculture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.00430.065501Physical education289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801		28976	0.0190	0.1365	0	1
Network of family and friends289760.00080.028201Self-employed173870.22220.415801Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship173870.01830.134201Temporary contract173870.15020.357201Freelance / Consulting173870.01500.121401Other atypical work173870.00010.033001Without contract173870.00110.033001Contract is missing173870.00110.033001Agriculture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.00430.065501Delogy and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801	Other job search channel	28976	0.0160	0.1257	0	1
Self-employed173870.22220.415801Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship173870.01830.134201Temporary contract173870.15020.357201Freelance / Consulting173870.10700.309101Other atypical work173870.01500.121401Without contract173870.02000.140101Contract is missing173870.00110.033001Agriculture289760.01950.138401Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.00430.065501Physical education289760.03780.190601Law289760.03780.190601Education289760.03780.190601	Job search channel is missing			0.0487	0	1
Starter contract / Training and work contract / Apprenticeship173870.01830.134201Temporary contract173870.15020.357201Freelance / Consulting173870.10700.309101Other atypical work173870.01500.121401Without contract173870.00100.030001Contract is missing173870.00110.033001Agriculture289760.01950.138401Architecture289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.026301Physical education289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801	Network of family and friends	28976	0.0008	0.0282	0	1
Temporary contract173870.15020.357201Freelance / Consulting173870.10700.309101Other atypical work173870.01500.121401Without contract173870.02000.140101Contract is missing173870.00110.033001Agriculture289760.01950.138401Architecture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.13280.339401				0.4158	0	1
Freelance / Consulting173870.10700.309101Other atypical work173870.01500.121401Without contract173870.02000.140101Contract is missing173870.00110.033001Agriculture289760.01950.138401Chemistry and pharmacy289760.04570.208701Economics and statistics289760.026301Physical education289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.13280.339401			0.0183	0.1342	0	1
Other atypical work173870.01500.121401Without contract173870.02000.140101Contract is missing173870.00110.033001Agriculture289760.01950.138401Architecture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.00430.065501Physical education289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801						
Without contract173870.02000.140101Contract is missing173870.00110.033001Agriculture289760.01950.138401Architecture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.00430.065501Physical education289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801	Freelance / Consulting	17387	0.1070	0.3091	0	1
Contract is missing173870.00110.033001Agriculture289760.01950.138401Architecture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.04330.065501Physical education289760.03780.190601Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801	51					
Agriculture289760.01950.138401Architecture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.026301Physical education289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801						1
Architecture289760.04570.208701Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.12110.326301Physical education289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801	e					
Chemistry and pharmacy289760.03650.187501Economics and statistics289760.12110.326301Physical education289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801						
Economics and statistics289760.12110.326301Physical education289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801				0.2087		
Physical education289760.00430.065501Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801						
Geology and biology289760.03780.190601Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801						
Law289760.13280.339401Education289760.06980.254801						
Education 28976 0.0698 0.2548 0 1						
Arts 28976 0.1267 0.3326 0 1						
	Arts	28976	0.1267	0.3326	0	1

Languages	28976	0.0655	0.2474	0	1
Political and social sciences	28976	0.1225	0.3278	0	1
Psychology	28976	0.0496	0.2171	0	1
Mathematics and Physics	28976	0.0197	0.1391	0	1
County level unemployment rate (NUTS3)	17387	6.84	3.47	2.6323	18.1093

Source: own elaboration on AlmaLaurea data.